# A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road

# **Economic Assessment Report**

# November 2012

#### Notice

This Economic Appraisal Report was produced by Atkins for the SEMMMS Project Team (Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council, Manchester City Council and Cheshire East Council), as part of the supporting evidence for the Major Scheme Business Case for the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road.

This report may not be used by any person other than the SEMMMS Project Team without the Project Teams' express permission. In any event, Atkins accepts no liability for any costs, liabilities or losses arising as a result of the use of or reliance upon the contents of this report by any person other than the SEMMMS Project Team.

#### **Document History**

JOB NUMBER: 5090036			DOCUMENT REF: 5090036 SEMMMS Economic Assessment Report v7.docx			
v5 & v6	Update for DF6 (internal Draft)	RK/PM	RK	RK/NM	NM	Nov 2012
v4	Updated TEE Tables –all Scenarios	RK	RK	NM	NM	Oct 2012
v3	Updated TEE Tables –all Scenarios	ST	RK	RK/NM	NM	June 2012
v2	Updated Scheme Costs incorporated	ST	RK	RK/NM	NM	May 2012
v1	Draft for SEMMMS Project Team / Client Comments	ST	RK	RK/NM	NM	17 <sup>th</sup> April 2012
Revision	Purpose Description	Originated	Checked	Reviewed	Authorised	Date

**Plan Design Enable** 

# Contents

Se	ction	Page
Exe	cutive Summary	4
1.	Introduction	6
	Overview	6
	Scheme Location	6
	Components of the Preferred Scheme	6
	Physical Description of the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road	7
	Structure of the Report	8
2.	Assessment Methodology & Assumptions	9
	Overview	9
	Methodology	9
	Underlying Assumptions	12
3.	Economic Assessment Results	14
	Overview	14
	Scheme Costs	14
	Scheme Benefits	17
	Scheme User Benefits	18
4.	Conclusions	30
	Overview	30
	Scheme Costs	30
	Scheme Benefits	30
List	of Tables	
Tabl	e 3.1 – Economic Summary Statistics for the Proposed Scheme	14
Tabl	e 3.2 – Preferred Option Scheme: Components of Investment Cost (Q2 2010 Prices)	16

Table 3.3 - Low-Cost Alternative: Components of Investment Cost (Q2 2010 Prices)	16
Table 3.4 - Present Value of Costs (£000s)	17
Table 3.5 – Accident Costs and Benefits for the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road	18
Table 3.6 – Proposed Scheme Total Economic Benefits by Forecast Scenario	19
Table 3.7 – Distribution of TUBA Based Benefits Across User Types (£m) – Proposed Scheme	19
Table 3.8 – Bus Journey Time Savings	20
Table 3.9 – Scheme Origin Trip TUBA Based PVB (£000s) at Sector Level – 2017	24
Table 3.10 – Scheme Destination Trip TUBA Based PVB (£000s) at Sector Level – 2017	25
Table 3.11 –Scheme Origin Trip TUBA Based PVB (£000s) at Sector Level – 2032	26
Table 3.12 – Scheme Destination Trip TUBA Based PVB (£000s) at Sector Level – 2032	27
Table 3.13 – Combined Origin / Destination Trip TUBA Based PVB (£000s) at Sector Level	28
Table 3.14 - Proposed Scheme TUBA Based PVB by Time Period	29
Table B.1 – Derivation of Off-Peak and Weekend Annualisation Factors	38

#### List of Figures

Figure 1.1 – Location of the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road Scheme	7
Figure 3.1 – A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road Traffic Model – TUBA 'Sector' Boundaries	23
Figure B.1 – Comparison of Weekday and Weekend Traffic Volumes (TRADS Data)	38

# Appendices

Appendix A – TEE Tables	31
Appendix B – Derivation of Weekend & Off Peak Annualisation Factors	36
Appendix C – Sector Benefits	39

# **Executive Summary**

#### Overview

The South East Manchester Multi Modal Strategy (SEMMMS) A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road will improve surface access to Manchester Airport and provide better connectivity along the south Manchester corridor, to assist Greater Manchester and Cheshire East in meeting their aspirations for economic growth. It directly supports the Government's objective to provide major transport infrastructure that will deliver economic growth, a fact acknowledged by the announcement on prioritisation for funding in the Chancellor's Autumn Statement in November 2011. The scheme will provide congestion relief to local communities and generate wider benefits to business through improved journey time reliability on the local and strategic highway network.

The scheme is an integral component of the wider SEMMMS strategy, which has delivered benefits to local communities across south-east Manchester through a range of public transport and sustainable transport measures over the past ten years. It is widely recognised that the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road is critical to delivering the long-term objectives of the SEMMMS strategy, and to meet national objectives for growth, employment and connectivity.

#### Scheme description

The A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road Scheme will provide 10 kilometres of new 2-lane dual carriageway on an east-west route from the A6 near Hazel Grove (south east Stockport), via the 4 kilometres of existing A555 to Manchester Airport and the link road to the M56. The scheme bypasses heavily-congested district and local centres, including Bramhall, Cheadle Hulme, Hazel Grove, Handforth, Poynton, Wythenshawe, Gatley and Heald Green. It will provide much-needed connectivity for key strategic routes into the North West and to Manchester Airport, including traffic from the A6, A523 and A34 – all of which are key routes for business, leisure travel and freight from Cheshire, Derbyshire, Staffordshire, Yorkshire and beyond.

The scheme incorporates eight new and five improved junctions, 4 railway crossings, a parallel shared cycle/pedestrian path and priority for public transport, and will provide a step-change in the allocation of existing road space in favour of sustainable modes of transport, thereby improving access for public transport, pedestrians and cyclists, and improving the quality of life in residential areas along the south Manchester corridor.

The majority of benefits will accrue to road users and local residents through improved access to centres of employment, commerce and leisure facilities. A package of complementary measures will maximise the scope of potential benefits by making the most efficient use of road space where there are forecast reductions in car traffic. These measures will prevent available road space from simply filling up with more cars. Similarly, a package of mitigation measures will contribute to overall value for money by limiting any negative impacts resulting from the scheme. Together, the complementary and mitigation measures will help secure substantial environmental, safety and social benefits.

This **Economic Assessment Report** (EAR) provides details of the methodology adopted, and findings produced for the appraisal of the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road scheme, setting out the scheme costs and benefits and producing an overall assessment of the relative value for money of each of the schemes, set against the guidance as specified in the Department for Transport's (DfT) WebTAG.

The economic analysis is based primarily on the interpretation of changes in traffic flows for the without scheme ('Do Minimum') and with scheme ('Do Something') scenarios as produced from the pertinent SATURN outputs. The DfT software which has been used in the analysis includes:

- TUBA for predicting the travel time benefits and scheme costs and
- COBA for predicting the accident benefits

The economic assessment found that the proposed scheme achieves a benefit cost ratio (BCR) of 5.06 (with optimism bias at 44%) representing 'high' value for money. A lower BCR was demonstrated for the low-cost alternative; however, this scheme also represents high value for money.

This economic assessment has shown that the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road scheme provides excellent value for money, significantly exceeding the DfT criterion for high value for money. It has also demonstrated that the proposed option provides a greater level of benefit than the lower-cost alternative. It is the recommendation of this report, therefore, the proposed alignment of the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road scheme should be accepted for programme entry.

# 1. Introduction

## Overview

- 1.1 This section provides a physical description of the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road Scheme. The scheme has been developed over a long period, taking account of previous public and stakeholder engagement. Final amendments may occur based on future public consultation, but the underlying philosophy and design of the scheme will remain as described in this chapter.
- 1.2 The scheme details as described in this chapter have been developed by the SEMMMS project team, taking into consideration the developing outputs from the transport modelling, environmental and value for money aspects. The final scheme details will not be fully determined until the further consultation with the public, stakeholders and other interested parties, such as landowners, has been completed. The outcome of the consultation will subsequently be considered by each of the promoting local authorities in order for them to approve the final scheme layout.
- 1.3 The location, components and physical characteristics of the scheme are provided. In accordance with the guidance on MSBCs, and given the nature of the scheme, details are provided of specific junction types and locations, scheme length and alignment, and link standard. Also included are details of the segregated cycle/pedestrian route and the complementary and mitigation measures that form part of the overall scheme proposals. The priority areas and measures described in this chapter have been developed by the SEMMMS project team, taking into consideration the developing outputs from the transportation modelling. The final package of complementary and mitigation measures will not be fully determined until agreement is reached with Cheshire East Council, Manchester City Council and other stakeholders. The outcome of the consultation will subsequently be considered by each of the promoting local authorities in order for them to approve the final package of measures.

## Scheme Location

1.4 *Figure 1.1(overleaf)* shows the location of the proposed scheme. The specific components of the scheme are presented in more detail below.

# Components of the Preferred Scheme

- 1.5 The A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road Scheme comprises the following:
  - **The Relief Road**, which is a broadly east-west route from the A6 near Hazel Grove (south east from Stockport) to Manchester Airport and the link road to the M56, incorporating seventeen new and improved junctions and four railway crossings;
  - **Provision of a segregated cycle/pedestrian route** adjacent to the new road and the existing length of the A555, providing a new orbital link for the Strategic Cycle /Pedestrian Network;
  - A package of complementary measures in accordance with the SEMMMS Strategy that will maximise the scope of benefits by making the most efficient use of road space where there are forecast reductions in car traffic. These measures will prevent available road space from simply filling up with more cars; and
  - A package of mitigation measures will contribute to overall value for money by limiting any negative impacts resulting from the scheme, including environmental and construction engineering mitigation to minimise the effect of the road on local communities and surrounding habitats.



#### Figure 1.1 – Location of the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road Scheme

# Physical Description of the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road

#### **Overview**

- 1.6 The proposed A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road scheme includes a new 2-lane dual carriageway connecting the A6 to Manchester Airport. The scheme bypasses Bramhall, Cheadle Hulme, Hazel Grove, Handforth, Poynton and Wythenshawe District Centres and Gatley and Heald Green Local Centres (as shown in *Figure 1.1*, above).
- 1.7 The scheme improves access to / from Manchester Airport and its employment areas as well as Hazel Grove, Newby Road, Bramhall Moor Lane, Poynton and Stanley Green employment areas. Access to a number of regeneration areas is also improved by the scheme, including Stockport Town Centre and Wythenshawe. The junction providing access to the A5149 Chester Road also provides the entry point to the proposed Poynton Relief Road.
- 1.8 The scheme will provide a high quality route for freight vehicles to access the strategic road network (i.e. M56) and Manchester Airport from the south east Manchester and Cheshire East / Derbyshire area, and as an alternative route to using existing residential streets.
- 1.9 The new road is approximately 10 kilometres long, of predominantly dual 2-lane carriageway standard and will include eight new and five improved junctions. It also incorporates a further 4 kilometres of existing A555 dual carriageway to the south of Bramhall. There are four rail crossings in the new sections, one of which is over the West Coast Main Line. A pedestrian and cycle route is proposed for the whole length of the scheme, including retrofitting it to the 4 kilometre existing section of A555

# Structure of the Report

- 1.10 The development of the base and forecast year traffic models on which this economic assessment is based are discussed in the Model Development Report and the Model Forecasting Report. This Economic Assessment Report presents the methodology and assumptions adopted for the economic assessment, and the results provided thereof. Following this introduction, the remainder of the report is structured as follows:
  - Chapter 2 describes the Methodology & Assumptions underpinning the economic assessment;
  - Chapter 3 presents the Economic Assessment Results for the proposed A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road;
  - Chapter 4 provides our Conclusions & Recommendations on the basis of the economic assessments undertaken;
  - Appendix A contains the Transport Economic Efficiency (TEE) Tables;
  - Appendix B provides details of the Derivation of Annualisation Factors, which are used to extrapolate modelled economic benefits across the year;
  - Appendix C contains Figures and Matrices of Sectored Benefits, which provides a detailed breakdown of the time savings, vehicle operating cost savings and total present value of benefits generated between different sectors of the traffic model, for all modelled time periods and years.

# 2. Assessment Methodology & Assumptions

## Overview

- 2.1 The economic assessment has primarily been conducted using the Department for Transport's (DfT) TUBA<sup>1</sup> software, which calculates monetised benefits and costs relating to travel time, vehicle operating costs, indirect tax revenue and user charges. TUBA produces a summary of these results in the form of a Transport Economic Efficiency (TEE) Table, copies of which are presented for each scenario in **Appendix A** of this report. The current version of TUBA is 1.8, which has been used for this assessment.
- 2.2 Cost savings resulting from reduced accident levels following introduction of the scheme, have been assessed using principals derived from the DfT's COBA<sup>2</sup> software. Accident rates are attributed to different link types and changes in flows used to estimate changes in accident levels.

# Methodology

### Scope of the Assessment

- 2.3 The evolution of the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road scheme and the wide range of options considered in arriving at the preferred scheme have been presented in detail within *the Major Scheme Business Case document*. The preferred option included in this economic appraisal is the result of a number of years of analysis and consultation, resulting in a scheme that will deliver substantial benefits at the same time as being affordable and maximising value for money.
- 2.4 Additional future year options have also been developed as part of scenario and sensitivity tests aimed at confirming the robustness of the modelling framework and the overall economic case. This includes scenario tests aimed to establish the impact of changes in the underlying level and distribution of demand, with a particular focus on traffic forecasts for Manchester Airport. The 'optimistic' and 'pessimistic' scenarios assess the impact on the economic case resulting from higher and lower levels of underlying traffic respectively.
- 2.5 Other scenario tests have been assessed to confirm that the preferred scheme represents the optimum design and of appropriate highway standard. This includes a 'low cost' alternative that examines the impact on the economic case of mixed single- and dual-carriageway design standards, and alternative junction design options.

## Traffic modelling

2.6 A robust approach to scheme assessment has been undertaken, using a variable demand modelling framework originally developed for the Greater Manchester Transport Innovation Fund (TIF) work, but updated specifically for the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road scheme. The modelling suite was developed jointly by Transport for Greater Manchester

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TUBA - **T**ransport **U**ser **B**enefit **A**ppraisal (Economic Appraisal Software developed by Mott MacDonald on behalf of the Department for Transport)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> COBA - **CO**st Benefit Analysis (Department for Transport sponsored program maintained and Enable distributed by TRL)

(TfGM formerly the Greater Manchester Transportation Unit – GMTU) and the MVA Consultancy. Additional modelling input and a formal reviewing role was provided by Atkins.

- 2.7 The model captures origin-destination trip and cost data across the extent of the UK, with detailed simulation modelling across Greater Manchester, Cheshire and the surrounding environs.
- 2.8 Models were created to represent three time periods:
  - Morning peak (0700-1000);
  - Inter-peak average hour (1000-1600); and
  - Evening peak hour (1600-1900).
- 2.9 The model developed for GMTIF work had a base year of 2007. The A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road model has been updated with a base year of 2009. The model was calibrated and validated in accordance with DfT criteria using observed traffic count and journey time data collected in neutral months throughout 2009. Full details of the data used to develop, calibrate and validate the base year transport model are presented in the *SEMMMS Data Collection and Traffic Surveys Report.*
- 2.10 All modelled time periods pass the calibration and validation criteria and are deemed to provide a good representation of observed traffic conditions across the study area. Full details of the calibration and validation methodology and outputs are provided in the *SEMMMS Local Model Validation Report*. Full details of the demand model are provided in the *SEMMMS Model Development Report*.
- 2.11 Model forecasts were prepared for two future years: 2017 and 2032. The transport network and public transport services have been updated to reflect schemes under construction and committed transport options anticipated to be in place by 2017 and 2032 respectively.
- 2.12 Future year forecast models were produced for the following scenarios:
  - A Do-Minimum (DM), which contains all committed developments and committed transport schemes (highway and public transport) across the study area to 2032; and
  - A Do-Something (DS) 'Core' scenario, which includes all developments and schemes from the DM, plus the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road scheme.
- 2.13 The demand model was run for the DM and DS scenarios, to enable any variation in traffic due to the scheme (induced traffic) to be reflected in the appraisal.
- 2.14 Further details of the development of the future year forecast models, and the impact of the DS relative to the DM, are presented in the *SEMMMS Model Forecasting Report.*

#### Appraisal Periods

- 2.15 Economic benefits of the scheme have been quantified using the DfT's Transport User Benefit Appraisal (TUBA v1.8) software.
- 2.16 Outputs from the SATURN<sup>3</sup> traffic models were provided, giving details of demand, journey times, trip distances and charges or fares applicable to those trips. These were generated as matrices with average figures for each origin-destination pair and were provided for both

**Plan Design Enable** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Simulation and Assignment of Traffic in Urban Road Networks (Institute for Transport Studies, The University of Leeds) SEMMMS Economic Assessment Report v7 09\_11\_12.docx 1

modelled years, 2017 and 2032, and for three time periods, AM, inter-peak and PM in each year.

- 2.17 Economic benefits are calculated for five modelled time periods. In order to establish the 'true' impact of the proposed scheme, it is necessary to extrapolate these benefits across a whole year, using appropriate annualisation factors. This follows the standard assumption that the travel patterns and scheme benefits observed in a modelled hour are an accurate representation of similar time periods on different days throughout the year. That is, the traffic model does not represent any one specific day in the year, but can be taken as representative of any day throughout the year.
- 2.18 Annualisation factors for expanding modelled benefits across the year can be based on default values as recommended in the TUBA guidance, or on locally-observed traffic data. In cases where AM, inter-peak and PM peak models represent an average hour during each time period, default factors are easy to justify. The AM and PM peak periods are assumed to represent the periods 0700-1000 and 1600-1900 respectively, that is, three hours in each peak period. A factor of three would be applied to an AM/PM average hour model, to reflect the fact that average conditions occur on three hours of the day. Assuming 253 working days across the year (365 days less 104 weekend days and 8 Bank Holidays), an annualisation factor of 759 would be adopted for the AM and PM models (253 days x 3 peak hours).
- 2.19 The inter-peak is represented by the period 1000-1600, that is, a six-hour period, so total daily inter-peak benefits would be derived by applying a factor of 6 to the inter-peak modelled hour benefits. Assuming the same 253 working days across the year, a factor of 1518 would be adopted for the inter-peak (253 x 6 inter-peak hours).
- 2.20 For the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road Scheme, default values would be potentially misleading for the AM peak traffic model, as they represent the single peak hour, rather than average morning peak traffic conditions. In such instances, the benefits generated by the scheme proposals are likely to be overestimated, as peak hour conditions are unlikely to be replicated for all three hours of the AM peak period. The factor used to annualise peak hour modelled benefits, therefore, is lower than the default factors one would apply to 'average hour' models, as it takes into account the higher than average proportion of traffic in the peak hour relative to the respective three-hour peak periods.
- 2.21 For the inter-peak and PM Peak average hour models, however, the default factor of 1518 and 759 respectively are valid as they are based on an average of inter-peak and PM peak hours and have therefore been adopted for this assessment.
- 2.22 Annualisation factors for a Saturday and an off peak week day period of 19:00 07:00 have also been calculated and used as part of the TUBA assessment.
- 2.23 The annualisation factors used for each time period are as follows:
  - Weekday AM Peak: 672;
  - Weekday PM Peak: 759;
  - Weekday Inter-Peak:1518;
  - Off Peak: 633: and
  - Weekend: 520.

- 2.24 A description into the calculation of the annualisation factors used in this assessment is provided in Appendix B of this report. A detailed examination of the observed traffic flow profiles at various locations throughout the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Route study area has been undertaken by Transport for Greater Manchester and the conclusion is that the annualisation factors used for this Economic Assessment are valid.
- 2.25 Using the above methodology, benefits were calculated to show time benefits for highway and public transport users. Benefits were disaggregated by user type, with separate figures for business and non-business users.
- 2.26 Benefits reported included time savings, reductions in vehicle operating costs, savings in charges, such as public transport fares, changes in revenue to private operators and local government and reductions in carbon emissions.
- 2.27 These benefits were all monetised so that, based on values of time, the benefits of time savings could be added to the already monetised benefits of reduced operating costs and savings on fares.
- 2.28 TUBA calculates benefits over a 60-year period, discounted to a particular base year of prices. The current base as defined in the DfT's WebTAG guidance is 2002.
- 2.29 Similarly, both the accident analysis calculates costs and benefits over a 60 year assessment period, discounted to a price base year of 2002.

#### Terminology

- 2.30 The sum total of the aforementioned benefits is represented by the **Present Value of Benefits (PVB)**.
- 2.31 Scheme costs have been calculated for each 'Do Something' option, based on capital costs and the impact of the scheme on indirect tax revenues recouped by the government. The sum total of these scheme costs, over and above the corresponding 'Do Minimum' costs, is represented by the **Present Value of Costs (PVC)**.
- 2.32 The difference between the PVB and the PVC represents the **Net Present Value (NPV)** of the scheme.
- 2.33 The ratio of PVB to PVC produces the **Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR)**. The BCR provides an indication of the value for money of a particular scheme. The DfT 'Guidance on Value for Money' states that a project will generally be:
  - Poor value for money if it has a BCR of less than 1.0;
  - Low value for money if it has a BCR of between 1.0 and 1.5;
  - Medium value for money if it has a BCR of between 1.5 and 2.0; and
  - High value for money if it has a BCR of over 2.0.

## **Underlying Assumptions**

#### **Economic Parameters**

- 2.34 The default economic parameters contained in the TUBA software have been used as the basis for the assessment. These parameters are based on DfT guidance as set out in Unit 3.5.6 of WebTAG, and include data on the following:
  - Values of time and value of time growth;
  - Fuel costs, rates of fuel consumption and changes in vehicle efficiency over time;

- Vehicle occupancies;
- Journey purpose splits;
- Rates of taxation; and
- Carbon values for assessing the impact of the scheme on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

#### **Discount Rate**

- 2.35 Discounting is the technique of comparing costs and benefits that occur in different years and involves the conversion to present values, so that they can be compared. It is based on the principle that, generally, society prefers to receive goods and services now, rather than later, and to defer costs to future generations - this is known as 'social time reference'.
- 2.36 The DfT's current standard rate of discount has been applied to scheme costs and benefits for this appraisal. The current guidance suggests the following rates of discount:
  - 3.5% for the first 30 years of the appraisal period;
  - 3% for years 30-75 of the appraisal period; and
  - 2.5% beyond year 75.
- 2.37 The standard appraisal period for a scheme of this nature is 60 years, so only the first two discount rates apply in this instance.

# 3. Economic Assessment Results

### Overview

- 3.1 This chapter presents the results of the economic assessment for the proposed A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road for all scenarios.
- 3.2 A summary of the economic statistics for each forecast scenario is provided in Table 3.1, with full details provided in the TEE Tables in **Appendix A**. The summary statistics are consistent with the TEE Tables and therefore include accident benefits calculated outside of TUBA.

Economic Benefits & Costs by Forecast Scenario							
Economic Summary Statistic	Core Scenario	Low Cost Alternative <sup>4</sup>	Optimistic	Pessimistic			
PVB	£879.7m	£721.4m	£976.1m	£871.6m			
PVC	£173.9m	£161.9m	£173.9m	£173.9			
NPV	£705.8m	£559.5m	£801.3m	£696.7m			
BCR	5.06	4.46	5.61	5.01			

Table 3.1 – Economic Summary Statistics for the Proposed Scheme

- 3.3 From the summary statistics above it is clear that the proposed scheme and the lower-cost alternative would both bring substantial benefits and value for money. The value is reflected most clearly by the BCRs; substantially greater than 2.00, which represents 'high' value for money against DfT guidelines.
- 3.4 Detailed discussion of the scheme costs and benefits is provided in this chapter. The scheme costs included in the assessment are set out in the following section, including an explanation of how the nominal scheme investment costs are adjusted to allow for future inflation, risk and optimism bias. Also included in the discussion on scheme costs are the potential indirect tax revenue (dis) benefits arising from the scheme, which contribute to the overall Present Value of Costs (PVC), reported in Table 3.1 and in the TEE Tables in **Appendix A**.
- 3.5 Following the discussion on scheme costs, the scheme benefits are presented for each forecast scenario. This includes discussion on the relative contribution of benefits relating to travel time and vehicle operating costs, as well as any reduction in accidents that may arise as a result of the scheme, which contribute towards the overall Present Value of Benefits (PVB) reported above.

## Scheme Costs

#### Overview

- 3.6 For the purposes of economic appraisal, total scheme costs include the discounted cost of investment (and operating/maintenance costs, if applicable) and the impact of the scheme on indirect tax revenues. These elements are discussed in more detail below, with specific reference to derivation of scheme PVC for each of the proposed schemes.
- 3.7 There are three main elements of a scheme cost estimate:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Low Cost Alternative scenario was assessed on the previous version on the SEMMMS traffic model (June 2012). For comparison purposes the BCR result obtained for the 'Core' scenario was 4.55.

- **Base costs**, which are the basic costs of the scheme before allowing for risks, but allowing for realistic assumptions of changes in real costs over time, such as cost increases above growth in the retail price index (RPI);
- **Adjustment for risk**, which should cover all the risks that can be identified, the majority of which then need to be assessed and quantified through a Quantified Risk Assessment (QRA). This results in the risk-adjusted cost estimate; and
- Adjustment for optimism bias to reflect the well established and continuing systematic bias for estimated scheme costs and delivery times to be too low and too short respectively. This results in the risk and optimism bias-adjusted cost estimate.

#### **Investment Costs**

- 3.8 The base cost represents the basic costs of the scheme for a given price base, made up of base investment (or capital cost) and base operating costs (including all maintenance costs). It is a detailed estimate of the cost of the project, taking into account the amount by which any of the elements of the scheme's cost are expected to increase at a different rate to the general level of inflation across the economy. That is, the base cost represents scheme capital and operating costs expressed in real prices.
- 3.9 The inflation rates relevant to the delivery of transport schemes are currently higher than general inflation rates across the economy. This has a knock-on effect on the overall scheme cost and ultimately the value for money of the scheme.

#### **Estimation of Scheme Costs**

- 3.10 A robust approach to the estimation of scheme costs has been undertaken using specialist advice from Corduroy, with an independent review of costs by EC Harris, and a review of buildability by Balfour Beatty. The costs have also been subject to value management exercises involving specialists from SMBC, URS and Atkins. The estimation of costs is described in detail in *Chapter 5 (The Financial Case) of the SEMMMS MSBC document*, although a breakdown of the base costs, allowance for risk and optimism bias is described below.
- 3.11 The total capital cost of the scheme, including all land, preparation maintenance, and supervision costs but excluding any future inflation, is £165.80 million at Q2 2010 prices. An allowance of £30.12m for future inflation on construction and land prices has been made<sup>5</sup>, along with a quantified estimate for known risks (including inflation) amounting to £34.44m, which produces a base cost valued at £230.36 million. In addition, we have included an allowance of £14.2m for an increase in future maintenance costs associated with the new road as well as a cost profile for Street Lighting costs and renewals over the scheme assessment period.
- 3.12 The recommended level of optimism bias for highway schemes at Programme Entry is 44%. There is an opportunity for the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road scheme to proceed directly to Conditional Approval, based on the advanced stage of project development and design, the value management exercises and risk management undertaken to date. There is, therefore, a strong case for reducing the level of optimism bias applied to scheme costs. Until this is confirmed, however, 44% optimism bias has been adopted for the economic appraisal.
- 3.13 Optimism bias has been applied to the preparation, supervision, construction and land costs prior to any adjustment for future inflation. The total adjustment for optimism bias applied in the appraisal is £85.6<sup>6</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The difference between land / construction sector inflation and economy-wide inflation has been applied to scheme costs for the purposes of economic appraisal. This is different to the inflation that is applied for the calculation of scheme capital costs as part of The Financial Case, which include absolute inflation (i.e. including RPI).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This represents 53% optimism bias when considered in a WebTAG context (i.e. a total scheme cost of £297.5 million, compared to an inflation and risk-adjusted base cost of £194.6m)

- 3.14 The total cost used in the economic appraisal amounts to £283.2 million. This value has been input to TUBA to reflect the allocation of expenditure between Local and Central Government. The Local and Central Government costs, once converted to 2002 prices and values using the default rates included in TUBA, produce a PVC of investment of £173.9 million.
- 3.15 A breakdown of the Preferred Option scheme costs is given in Table 3.2 below. This provides detailed information on the final capital cost element of the scheme (Construction, Land Costs, Preparation, Maintenance and Supervision) and estimated scheme cost spend profile (from 2010 to 2076).

Voar	Capital Costs (£m)							
i cai	Construction	Land	Preparation	Maintenance	Supervision	Total		
2010	-	-	-	-	-	0		
2011	-	-	-	-	-	0		
2012	-	3.2	2.9	-	-	6.1		
2013	10.2	4.3	2.1	-	0.3	16.9		
2014	47.5	22.6	0.7	-	1.2	72.0		
2015	91.6	1.8	-	-	2.3	95.8		
2016	58.5	1.8	-	-	1.5	61.8		
2017	-	29.5	-	-	-	29.5		
2018	-	1.2	-	-	-	1.2		
2019 to 2076	-	-	-	14.2	-	14.2		
Total	207.9	64.4	5.7	14.2	5.3	297.5		

Table 3.2 – Preferred Option Scheme: Components of Investment Cost (Q2 2010 Prices)

3.16 For comparison purposes the equivalent detailed cost breakdown of the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road 'low-cost' alternative is provided in table 3.3.

Voar	Capital Costs (£m)							
Tear	Construction	Land	Preparation	Maintenance	Supervision	Total		
2010	-	-	-	-	-	0		
2011	-	5.0	-	-	0.1	5.1		
2012	14.0	17.8	2.3	-	0.1	34.2		
2013	7.8	8.3	2.3	-	0.1	18.5		
2014	25.1	13.0	0.7	-	0.5	39.3		
2015	60.7	1.6	-	-	1.3	63.6		
2016	58.4	1.6	-	-	1.3	61.3		
2017	34.1	2.6	-	-	0.7	37.4		
2018	-	2.5	-	-	-	Plan Design Ena		

Table 3.3 - Low-Cost Alternative: Components of Investment Cost (Q2 2010 Prices)

2019 to 2076	-	-	-	15.2	-	15.2
Total	200.1	52.4	5.3	15.2	4.1	277.1

## Present Value of Costs (PVC)

3.17 The individual components of the Present Value of Costs for each option are shown in Table 3.4.

Table 3.4 - Present Value of Costs (£000s)

Cost Type	Core	Low Cost	Optimistic	Pessimistic
Operating Costs	780	776	780	780
Investment Costs	173,102	161,140	173,102	173,102
Total PVC	173,882	161,916	173,882	173,882

## Scheme Benefits

#### **Accident Benefits**

- 3.18 The results of the accident analysis for the core scheme are presented in Table 3.5. For comparison, the number of accidents and casualties and the overall accident cost is summarised for two model years and the for the whole 60-year appraisal period.
- 3.19 The A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road (core scenario) will see a decrease of 1032 personal injury accidents across the 60-year appraisal period, resulting in the following:
  - 13 additional fatalities;
  - 56 additional 'serious' casualties; and
  - A reduction of 1,387 'slight' casualties.
- 3.20 In monetised terms, this produces an accident benefit of £16m over the 60-year appraisal period.

Do-Minimum (no scheme) Accident Results					
	2017	2032	Total 60 year Appraisal Period		
Number of Personal Injury Accidents	2,560	2,873	169,888		
Casualties Fatal	26	30	1,768		
Serious	292	330	19,518		
Slight	3,320	3,726	220,325		
Total Costs (£ms discounted to 2002 prices)	149	129	6,666		
Do–Something (with sche	me) Accider	nt Results	S		
	2017	2032	Total 60 year Appraisal Period		
Number of Personal Injury Accidents	2,540	2,856	168,856		
Casualties Fatal	27	30	1,781		
Serious	292	331	19,574		
Slight	3,293	3,704	218,938		
Total Costs (£ms discounted to 2002 prices)	149	129	6,650		
Comparison of Accident Results from Do-M	linimum and	d Do-Son	nething Scenarios		
	2017	2032	Total 60 year Appraisal Period		
Number of Personal Injury Accidents Saved	20	17	1032		
Casualties Fatal	-1	0	-13		
Serious	0	-1	-56		
Slight	27	22	1,387		
Total Accident Cost Saving (£ms discounted to 2002 prices)	0	0	16		

#### Table 3.5 – Accident Costs and Benefits for the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road

# Scheme User Benefits

3.21 The following section details the economic benefits arising from the introduction of the Core, Low-Cost, Optimistic and Pessimistic scenarios of the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road scheme.

#### **Overview**

3.22 A breakdown of the benefits generated by the proposed scheme is summarised in Table 3.6 below. As expected for a scheme of this nature, the majority of scheme benefits are generated in the form of time savings to highway users, accounting for up to 95% of total PVB. The remaining 4-5% of benefits is generated largely from accident savings and savings in Vehicle Operating Costs (VOC). Carbon emission accounts for only small percentages of the total PVB.

Ponofit	Economic Benefits by Forecast Scenario (£m)					
Denent	Core	Low Cost	Optimistic	Pessimistic		
Travel Time Savings	832.5	692.5	927.2	824.6		
'VOC' Savings	47.5	25.6	49.0	42.9		
Carbon Emission Savings	-1.1	-3.9	-1.3	-1.2		
Accident Savings	16	9	16	16		
Indirect Tax	4.4	12.7	4.9	8.9		
Net Present Value of Benefits (PVB)	899.4	721.4	995.8	891.3		

#### Table 3.6 – Proposed Scheme Total Economic Benefits by Forecast Scenario

3.23 As shown in Table 3.7, almost half of these benefits are generated by business travellers, that is, journeys carried out on behalf of work. This is to be expected, given the significantly higher value of time of business users compared to commuters and 'other' users<sup>7</sup>. It should be noted that the benefits presented in Table 3.1 relate to 'transport economic efficiency' TUBA based benefits alone (i.e. time savings and vehicle operating cost savings), so the total PVB figures are lower than those presented in the table 3.1 above, as the latter include benefits relating to reductions in accidents and carbon emissions.

Table 3.7 – Distribution of TUBA Based Benefits Across User Types (£m) – Proposed Scheme

Journey	F	VB (£m) for each	Forecast Scenario	D
Purpose	Core	Low Cost	Optimistic	Pessimistic
Time Savings				
Business	379.7	302.6	432.9	379.9
Commuting	169.2	144.8	178.9	159.4
Other	283.6	245.1	315.4	285.3
Total	832.5	692.5	927.2	824.6
VOC Savings				
Business	47.8	33.2	33.1	34.0
Commuting	4.3	2.9	-1.9	1.9
Other	-4.6	-10.4	-22.8	-10.4
Total	47.5	25.6	8.5	25.6
Total PVB				
Business	427.6	320.6	485.1	427.3
Commuting	173.5	147.9	181.9	160.8
Other	279.0	235.0	309.2	279.4
Total	880.1	721.4	976.2	867.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Business users value time at £21.06 per hour (at 2002 prices), compared to the valuations of £5.04 per hour and £4.46 per hour placed upon time by commuters and 'other' users.

### Public Transport Benefits

- 3.24 An analysis of benefits to PT (Bus) users / operators was undertaken using TUBA with the input taken from the Variable Demand Model. This analysis assumes that the current bus services remain and that no new services are developed to use the new Relief Road or additional bus frequency to take advantage of improved travel conditions for buses. The results incorporated in the TEE Table (Appendix A), indicate a small PT disbenefit largely as a result of loss of fare box revenue due to mode shift from bus to car. The TUBA results are subject to model noise from the Highway SATURN Model and should therefore be interpreted as indicating that the PT user time impacts will be small and within the margins of error of the highway modelling.
- 3.25 Whilst the TUBA analysis indicates a disbenefit to buses through mode shift to car, the reality however is, that the completion of the relief road will relieve existing east-west routes from congestion which in turn will provide journey time benefits for buses using those routes. The VDM model predicts that this improvement in journey time will lead to a mode shift from bus to car. In reality, this is considered to be unlikely since those currently using the bus will achieve a journey time saving with the scheme in place and whilst this is also true for the car user, bus journey times are slower than car journey times in both scenarios and so there is little likelihood of travellers changing mode of travel in favour of the car when bus journey times are improving. In addition, the new road will provide an opportunity for new bus routes to be considered to complement those that currently operate in the corridor. In both cases, the improvements in bus journey times will deliver PT benefits that would be in addition to those benefits captured in the TEE Table.
- 3.26 As an example of benefits to bus users, we have looked at the current bus services X69 and 369. Both these services run between Stockport town centre and Manchester Airport and would directly benefit from construction of the Relief Road. The two diagrams below show the current bus route for these services along with a proposed route following the opening of the Relief Road. Because of the changed local road configuration with the scheme, the proposed bus route is approximately 230m longer than the current route.



3.27 The DM and DS journey times have been extracted from the traffic models and are shown below in Table 3.8. The data shows that the journey time saving for this bus route is between 6% and 23% with the new Relief Road in place, representing a reduction in journey time of between one minute in the inter-peak period and up to seven minutes in the AM peak period. This analysis demonstrates that the Relief Road will, in reality, deliver significant benefits to public transport users in the scheme corridor.

	АМ		IP				PM					
	20	)17	20	32	2017		2032		2017		2032	
	DM	DS										
Westbound												
Time (secs)	1940	1492	2110	1666	1400	1238	1482	1296	1796	1492	1925	1586

ings

Plan Design Enable

Time (mins)	32.3	24.9	35.2	27.8	23.3	20.6	24.7	21.6	29.9	24.9	32.1	26.4
Time Saving		23.1%		21.0%		11.6%		12.6%		16.9%		17.6%
Eastbound												
Time (secs)	1824	1572	2154	1695	1314	1233	1386	1284	1682	1467	1921	1588
Time (mins)	30.4	26.2	35.9	28.3	21.9	20.6	23.1	21.4	28.0	24.5	32.0	26.5
Time Saving		13.8%		21.3%		6.2%		7.4%		12.8%		17.3%

- 3.28 As mentioned above, the relief road will open up the prospect of new bus services along the corridor utilising the new road. As no specific services are planned, no account has been taken of these potential services within the transport models or in scheme appraisal. There is however a real possibility that bus operators would seek to capitalise upon this new route corridor and operate commercial services between the town centres and the Airport. Typical bus services could include the following:
  - Stockport town centre to Manchester Airport (via Hazel Grove and Bramhall). Currently a park and ride site at Hazel Grove is being promoted by one of the bus operators.
  - Stockport town centre to Wilmslow and Handforth
  - Macclesfield to Manchester Airport (via Poynton)
- 3.29 Any such new bus services would add to the economic benefit to public transport, reduce travel by private car and contribute to reducing the carbon impact of the new relief road. This will directly address one of the scheme's second tier objectives, which is to:

#### Support lower carbon travel:

 Improve public transport accessibility (reduced journey times for buses) and increase bus usage between Stockport town centre and Manchester Airport

## **Spatial Distribution of Benefits**

- 3.30 The economic assessment is concerned primarily with the overall economic summary statistics, culminating in a BCR that reflects the value for money of the scheme proposals. However, it is important to understand from where these benefits have been derived. One way of doing this is to compare the benefits generated by different geographical areas across the study area.
- 3.31 This 'sector analysis' has been undertaken using the following 'geographical' areas (and shown graphically in Figure 3.1 below).
  - Sector 1 Bramhall / Cheadle / Heald Green;
  - Sector 2 Wilmslow / Styal / Handforth;
  - Sector 3 Poynton / Woodford / Prestbury / Bollington;
  - Sector 4 Hazel Grove / Offerton / Marple / Gee Cross;
  - Sector 5 Manchester Airport;
  - Sector 6 Didsbury / Gatley / Withington;
  - Sector 7 Stockport;
  - Sector 8 Altrincham / Sale / Stretford;
  - Sector 9 Disley / High Lane / Whaley Bridge;
  - Sector 10 Macclesfield;
  - Sector 11 Knutsford / Chelford / Northwich;
  - Sector 12 East of 'Cordon' / Peak District;
  - Sector 13 South East of 'Cordon';
  - Sector 14 South West of 'Cordon';
  - Sector 15– West of 'Cordon';
  - Sector 16 North West of 'Cordon'; and;
  - Sector 17 North East of 'Cordon'

#### Figure 3.1 – A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road Traffic Model – TUBA 'Sector' Boundaries



Plan Design Enable

**NTKINS** 

Hursto NG P. Stalybridge 0 Tintwi Newton lollingwort ladfield an Longdendale iv de roadboltom A626 Gee Cross Charleswo Chisworth A626 Romiley Computall Marple Mellor Little Hayfield Thornsett A6015 Vale Low Leighton slev **LOW** Newtown Middlewood urness Vale Black Hill Baxworth Whatey Bridge Lower Cros Honorch End Kettleshulm Pott Shrieley Fernilee 3Kerridge Govt Rainow Bric Hurdsfield Goyra Moss ACCLESSED D Burba Cat and Fiddle Inn Macclesheld Forest

- 3.32 Tables 3.9, 3.10, 3.11 & 3.12 provide a summary of the total benefits generated by trips from and to each of the above sectors at 2017 and 2032 (the scheme 'opening' and 'design' years).
- 3.33 Benefits from the proposed A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road scheme are shown to be greatest for traffic travelling to/from local centres adjacent to the route of the scheme such as Bramhall, Cheadle, Hazel Grove, Marple, Wilmslow, Styal and Handforth among others. Significant benefits are also observed for trips originating and destined for the central Stockport area. These benefits are accrued due to traffic using the proposed A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road scheme as an attractive and viable alternative for access to these local centres and enabling longer distance through trips to re-route away from these local centres and the existing congested local road network.
- 3.34 This pattern of benefits is the same for both the opening year of 2017 and the design year of 2032. The distribution of benefits is also broadly similar across all four of the options tested.
- *3.35* Detailed sector-to-sector result tables are provided in Appendix C.

Sector	Core	Low Cost	Optimistic	Pessimistic
Bramhall / Cheadle / Heald Green	1481	1113	1692	1225
Wilmslow / Styal / Handforth	971	1029	1763	811
Poynton / Woodford / Prestbury / Bollington	782	694	691	586
Hazel Grove / Offerton / Marple / Gee Cross	2288	1976	2219	1733
Manchester Airport	814	547	1099	493
Didsbury / Gatley / Withington	375	447	429	-72
Stockport	1860	1405	1672	1101
Altrincham / Sale / Stretford	988	818	845	230
Disley / High Lane / Whaley Bridge	637	314	588	529
Macclesfield	118	217	261	-358
Knutsford / Chelford / Northwich	161	167	147	90
East of Cordon / Peak District	510	246	510	445
South East of Cordon	343	177	370	145
South West of Cordon	443	453	542	21
West of Cordon	1391	770	1220	1077
Northwest of Cordon	1173	712	1171	232
Northeast of Cordon	775	504	750	393
Total	15050	11590	15971	8680

#### Table 3.9 – Scheme Origin Trip TUBA Based PVB (£000s) at Sector Level – 2017

Sector	Core	Low Cost	Optimistic	Pessimistic
Bramhall / Cheadle / Heald Green	1539	1403	2002	1327
Wilmslow / Styal / Handforth	1448	1262	1304	1183
Poynton / Woodford / Prestbury / Bollington	810	490	844	626
Hazel Grove / Offerton / Marple / Gee Cross	1804	1571	2068	1331
Manchester Airport	649	191	713	361
Didsbury / Gatley / Withington	221	311	369	-121
Stockport	1565	1330	1679	1037
Altrincham / Sale / Stretford	775	702	759	-222
Disley / High Lane / Whaley Bridge	768	466	798	696
Macclesfield	264	226	290	-173
Knutsford / Chelford / Northwich	263	194	220	151
East of Cordon / Peak District	764	209	809	700
South East of Cordon	561	254	582	280
South West of Cordon	1748	1025	1744	993
West of Cordon	-3	6	-43	49
Northwest of Cordon	750	728	888	-116
Northeast of Cordon	1125	1212	946	576
Total	15050	11590	15971	8680

#### Table 3.10 –Scheme Destination Trip TUBA Based PVB (£000s) at Sector Level – 2017

Sector	Core	Low Cost	Optimistic	Pessimistic
Bramhall / Cheadle / Heald Green	2108	1502	2601	2273
Wilmslow / Styal / Handforth	1932	1588	2145	2209
Poynton / Woodford / Prestbury / Bollington	1009	1007	1150	976
Hazel Grove / Offerton / Marple / Gee Cross	2709	2197	3113	2725
Manchester Airport	1828	1650	1945	1797
Didsbury / Gatley / Withington	626	529	730	659
Stockport	2144	1842	2486	2214
Altrincham / Sale / Stretford	1390	1225	833	1291
Disley / High Lane / Whaley Bridge	169	2	89	205
Macclesfield	301	328	1297	271
Knutsford / Chelford / Northwich	353	313	248	385
East of Cordon / Peak District	276	119	257	287
South East of Cordon	299	176	350	353
South West of Cordon	1183	1126	961	1186
West of Cordon	1095	945	1517	1277
Northwest of Cordon	1278	966	1202	1342
Northeast of Cordon	1006	789	995	1065
Total	19707	16304	21920	20515

#### Table 3.11 –Scheme Origin Trip TUBA Based PVB (£000s) at Sector Level – 2032

Sector	Core	Low Cost	Optimistic	Pessimistic
Bramhall / Cheadle / Heald Green	2649	2551	3042	2875
Wilmslow / Styal / Handforth	1621	1712	1275	1965
Poynton / Woodford / Prestbury / Bollington	1240	665	1251	1346
Hazel Grove / Offerton / Marple / Gee Cross	2484	1631	3180	2464
Manchester Airport	793	285	911	807
Didsbury / Gatley / Withington	473	630	590	504
Stockport	2224	2101	2722	2204
Altrincham / Sale / Stretford	840	930	806	916
Disley / High Lane / Whaley Bridge	577	238	630	600
Macclesfield	564	44	784	515
Knutsford / Chelford / Northwich	401	528	231	400
East of Cordon / Peak District	656	254	789	640
South East of Cordon	513	190	617	479
South West of Cordon	1875	1699	1879	1965
West of Cordon	-42	17	-45	5
Northwest of Cordon	1185	1082	1472	1237
Northeast of Cordon	1656	1349	1787	1594
Total	19707	16304	21920	20515

#### Table 3.12 – Scheme Destination Trip TUBA Based PVB (£000s) at Sector Level – 2032

- 3.36 The main benefits are shown to accrue to users travelling to and from the local centres around the proposed scheme. This is intuitive, as the scheme provides drivers with an alternative option to their normal route and hence, some vehicles will be taken off existing roads, reducing travel time for those who continue to use these existing roads as part of their route.
- 3.37 Table 3.13 provides a summary of the combined total benefits generated by trips from and to each of the 17 sectors for 2017 and 2032 (the scheme 'opening' and 'design' years).

#### Core **Optimistic** Pessimistic Sector Bramhall / Cheadle / Heald Green Wilmslow / Styal / Handforth Poynton / Woodford / Prestbury / Bollington Hazel Grove / Offerton / Marple / Gee Cross Manchester Airport Didsbury / Gatley / Withington -193 Stockport Altrincham / Sale / Stretford Disley / High Lane / Whaley Bridge Macclesfield -531 Knutsford / Chelford / Northwich East of Cordon / Peak District South East of Cordon South West of Cordon West of Cordon Northwest of Cordon Northeast of Cordon Total

#### Table 3.13 – Combined Origin / Destination Trip TUBA Based PVB (£000s) at Sector Level

## **Temporal Distribution of Benefits**

3.38 Table 3.14 provides a summary of the TUBA based PVB (excluding accidents and carbon emissions benefits) by time period, and the relative contribution of benefits from each time period to the total scheme benefits, over the 60-year appraisal period.

Time Period	Core		Low Cost Alternative		Optin	nistic	Pessimistic		
	£m	% of Total	£m	% of Total	£m	% of Total	£m	% of Total	
AM Peak	300.8	36%	257.1	37%	284.0	31%	269.8	33%	
PM Peak	265.9	32%	230.8	33%	282.2	30%	258.5	31%	
Inter Peak	149.2	18%	119.1	17%	202.6	22%	166.3	20%	
Off Peak	62.4	7%	49.8	7%	84.7	9%	69.5	9%	
Weekend	54.3	7%	43.5	6%	73.6	8%	60.5	7%	
Total PVB	832.6	100%	700.2	100%	863.7	100%	824.6	100%	

Table 3.14 - Proposed Scheme TUBA Based PVB by Time Period

- 3.39 The results obtained form the Core scenario analysis show that the AM peak period provides the largest element of benefit generated with £300.8m (or 36%). The PM peak is the next largest contributor; accounting for 32% of total PVB and the inter peak generating 18%.
- 3.40 This temporal pattern of benefits is broadly followed by the low-cost and Optimistic / Pessimistic scenario tests undertaken, with the AM Peak period generating the most significant element of scheme benefit, varying between 31 and 37%.
- 3.41 The pattern of benefits being achieved by the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road is considered to be appropriate and highlights the nature of traffic volumes / congestion within the study area. The AM and PM peak hours currently exhibit the largest volumes of traffic across the SEMMMS Area of Influence. In the future year Do-Minimum scenarios, the level of traffic is further amplified (due to factors such as 'background' traffic growth and the inclusion of specific land-use / development sites), which manifests itself as increased network congestion / journey times. The inclusion of the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road scheme provides the greatest amount of travel time benefit for traffic within these time periods and helps to explain why the AM and PM peak hours offer the largest proportions of monetary benefits.

# 4. Conclusions

### Overview

4.1 A series of traffic models have been developed to assess proposals for the potential A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road, testing two alternative options: the Core Scenario and a Low-Cost Alternative. A series of sensitivity tests looking at Optimistic and Pessimistic scenarios has also been undertaken. The future year traffic models have been used to generate demand and cost data, which forms the basis of an economic assessment described in this report.

## Scheme Costs

#### The Core Scenario

- 4.2 A robust approach to the estimation of scheme costs has been undertaken using specialist advice from Corduroy, with an independent review of costs by EC Harris, and a review of buildability by Balfour Beatty. The costs have also been subject to value management exercises involving specialists from SMBC, URS and Atkins.
- 4.3 The total cost used in the economic appraisal of the DS Core Scenario amounts to £297.5million (at Q2 2010 prices) which is inclusive of maintenance, inflation, risk and optimism bias elements.

#### **The Low-Cost Alternative**

4.4 The total cost used in the economic appraisal of the low-cost option amounts to £277.1 million (at Q2 2010 prices) which is inclusive of inflation, risk and optimism bias elements.

## Scheme Benefits

#### **The Core Scenario**

- 4.5 The DS Core Scenario scheme produces a PVB of £880m. Taking into account the total scheme costs, it produces a scheme NPV of £706m and a **BCR of 5.06**. This represents **high value for money** against DfT guidance.
- 4.6 Almost 95% of the benefits are generated in the form of travel time savings, with the remaining 5% of benefits generated from vehicle operating cost savings and reductions in accidents and indirect tax revenues.
- 4.7 The scheme is forecast to reduce the number of accidents on the highway network across the study area, resulting in 1032 fewer personal injury accidents and generating £16m of benefits as a consequence.

### **The Low-Cost Alternative**

- 4.8 The lower-cost alternative produces a PVB of £721m. Taking into account the total costs of the option, it produces a net present value of £559m and a **BCR of 4.46**. This represents **high value for money** against DfT guidance.
- 4.9 Almost 96% of the benefits are generated in the form of travel time savings, with the remaining benefits generated from vehicle operating cost savings and reductions in accidents and indirect tax revenues.
- 4.10 This economic assessment has shown that the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road significantly exceeds the DfT criterion for high value for money. It has also demonstrated that the DS Core scenario provides a greater level of benefit than the lower-cost alternative. It is the recommendation of this report, therefore, that the Core Scenario alignment of the A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road scheme should be accepted for programme entry plan Design Enable

# Appendix A – TEE Tables

#### Table A.1 - TEE Table – Core Scheme

Table 1: Transport Economic Efficiency	Benefits						
Consumers - Commuting	ALL MODES		RC		BUS & COACH	RAII	OTHE
User Benefits	TOTAL		Private Ca	ars & LGVs	Passengers	Passenge	ers
Travel Time	166504		169	9183	-2679		
Vehicle Operating Costs	4310	-	43	310	250		
During Construction & Maintenance	0			0	235		
NET CONSUMER BENEFITS (COMMUTING)	171073	(1a)	173493		-2420	0	0
Consumers - Other Users	ALL MODES	-	ROAD		BUS & COACH	RAIL	OTHE
User Benefits	TOTAL	_	Private Ca	ars & LGVs	Passengers	Passenge	ers
Travel Time	278498	-	283	3614	-5116	-	
Venicle Operating Costs User Charges	-4616 363	1	-4	0	363		
During Construction & Maintenance	0			0			
NET CONSUMER BENEFITS (OTHERS)	274245	(1b)	278	3998	-4753	0	0
Business							
User Benefits		-	Personal	Freight	Passengers	Passengers	Freight
Travel Time Vehicle Operating Costs	379864	-	199392	180354	118		
User Charges	21		0	0	21		
During Construction & Maintenance	0	1	0	0			
Subtotal	427730	(2)	207604	219987	139	0	0 0
Private Sector Provider Impacts					Passengers	Passenge	ers
Revenue	-15228	]			-15228		
Operating Costs	0	-					
Grant/Subsidy	0	1					
Subtotal	-15228	(3)		0	-15228	0	0
Other Business Impr-t-							
Developer Contributions	0	(4)					
NET BUSINESS IMPACT	412502	(5) = (2) + (3)	+ (4)		1		I
		-					
TOTAL Present Value of Transport Economic Efficiency Reports	857800	(6) = (1a) + (1a)	(b) + (5)				
Present value of manaport Economic Enticency Denents	037020	(o) - (1u) - (1					
	Notes: Benefits a	ppear as positi	ve numbers, while cost	ts appear as negative	numbers		
Table 2: Public Accounts							
Local Government Funding	ALL MODES TOTAL		ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE	BUS and COACH	RAIL	OTHER	
Revenue	0	]	0				
Operating Costs	780	-	780				
Investment Costs Developer and Other Contributions	39254	-	39254				
Grant/Subsidy Payments	0	1	0				
NET IMPACT	40034	(7)	40034				
Central Government Funding: Transport							
Revenue	0	1					
Operating costs	0	1					
Investment Costs	133848	-	133848				
Grant/Subsidy Payments	0	1					
NET IMPACT	133848	(8)					
Central Government Funding: Non-Transport Indirect Tax Revenues	-6956	(9)	-4404	-2552			
		] (•)					
TOTALS	170000	1					
Broad Transport Budget Wider Public Finances	173882	(10) = (7) + (8) (11) = (9)	3)				
	-0000	1 (17) - (19)					
Notes: Costs appear as positive numbers, while revenues and	Developer and Other Co	ntributions' appe	ar as negative numbers				
All entries are discounted present values in 2002 prices and val	iues.						
Table 3: Analysis of Monstined Costs	nd Renefite						
Table 6. Analysis of monetised Costs al	na Dellelits						
Noise		(12)					
Local Air Quality		(13)					
Greenhouse Gases	-1077	(14)					
Accidents	16000	(15) (16)					
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Commuting)	171073	(1a)					
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Other)	274245	(1b)					
Economic Efficiency: Business Users and Providers	412502	(5) - (11) sign o	hanned from DA table	as På table represento	costs not benefits		
Option Values	-0500	(17) - sign c		as i A table represents	oosta, not benefits		
Present \/alue of Renefite (see notes) (D) (D)	270000		1421 1441 - 14EL - 14	6) ; (do) ; (db) ; (F)	(47) (44)		
Present Value of Denetits · ····· (PVB)	913633	ј (РVВ) = (12) +	+ (13) + (14) + (15) + (16	o) + (18) + (1b) + (5) +	(17) - (11)		
Broad Transport Budget	173882	(10)					
Present Value of Costs (see notes) (PVC)	173882	(PVC) = (10)					
OVERALL IMPACTS		1	-				
Net Present Value (NPV) Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR)	705817	BCR=PVB-PV	с с				
server to over name (Ben)	0.00	JUSINERVOIPVI	-				
Note : This table includes costs and benefits which are regular significant costs and benefits, some of which cannot be preser used as the sole basis for decisions.	ly or occasionally presented in monetised form. N	nted in monetised Where this is the	d form in transport appra case, the analysis pres	aisals, together with son sented above does NOT	ne where monetisation provide a good measu	is in prospect. The re of value for mor	ere may also be othe ney and should not b

#### Table A.2 – TEE Table – Low Cost Alternative Scheme

Table 1: Transport Economic Efficiency B	enefits							
Consumers - Commuting	ALL MODES		RO	DAD	BUS & COACH	RAIL		OTHER
User Benefits	TOTAL		Private Ca	ars & LGVs	Passengers	Passeng	gers	
Travel Time	144804		147	7483	-2679			
User Charges	259		20	0	259			
During Construction & Maintenance	0		(	0				
NET CONSUMER BENEFITS (COMMUTING)	147931	(1a)	150	0351	-2420	0		0
Consumers - Other Users	ALL MODES		RO	AD	BUS & COACH	RAIL		OTHER
User Benefits	TOTAL	1	Private Ca	ars & LGVs	Passengers	Passeng	gers	
Travel Time	245098		250	0214	-5116			
User Charges	363		-10	0	363			
During Construction & Maintenance	0			0				
NET CONSUMER BENEFITS (OTHERS)	235014	(1b)	239	9767	-4753	0		0
Business								
User Benefits		1	Personal	Freight	Passengers	Passengers	Freight	
Travel Time Vehicle Operating Costs	302648		159686	142844	118			
User Charges	21		0	0	21			
During Construction & Maintenance	0		0	0				
Subtotal	335894	(2)	166920	168835	139	0	0	0
Private Sector Provider Impacts					Passengers	Passend	aers	
Revenue	-15228	]			-15228			
Operating Costs	0							
Grant/Subsidy	0	-						<u> </u>
Subtotal	-15228	(3)		0	-15228	0		0
		-						
Uther Business Impacts	0	<b>T</b> (4)	[					
NET BUSINESS IMPACT	320666	(*) (5) = (2) + (3)	+ (4)		1	I		
	•	•						
TOTAL	700044	(0) - (4-) - (4	E) . (E)					
Present Value of Transport Economic Efficiency Benefits	703611	(0) = (1a) + (1	b) + (5)					
	Notes: Benefits a	ppear as positiv	ve numbers, while cost	ts appear as negative	numbers			
Table 2: Public Accounts								
Local Government Funding	ALL MODES		ROAD	BUS and COACH	RAIL	OTHER		
Revenue	0	1	0				1	
Operating Costs	776		776					
Investment Costs	33335	-	33335					
Grant/Subsidy Payments	0		0					
NET IMPACT	28068	(7)	28068				]	
Central Government Funding: Transport								
Revenue	0	]					]	
Operating costs	0							
Investment Costs	133848		133848					
Grant/Subsidy Payments	0							
NET IMPACT	133848	(8)					1	
Central Covernment Funding: Non Transport								
Indirect Tax Revenues	-12667	(9)	-10115	-2552			1	
							1	
TOTALS Broad Transport Budget	161916	(40) - (7) - (8	2					
Wider Public Finances	-12667	(10) = (1) + (0) (11) = (9)	"					
Notes: Costs appear as positive numbers, while revenues and 'Dev All entries are discounted present values in 2002 prices and values	eloper and Other Co	ntributions' appe	ar as negative numbers.					
sa enance are assounce present values in zooz prices and values								
Table 3: Analysis of Monetised Costs and	Benefits							
		1						
Noise		(12)						
Greenhouse Gases	-3856	(14)						
Journey Ambience		(15)						
Accidents Economic Efficiency: Consumer Lloors (Commuting)	9000	(16)						
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Commung) Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Other)	235014	(1b)						
Economic Efficiency: Business Users and Providers	320666	(5)						
Wider Public Finances (Indirect Taxation Revenues)	-12667	- (11) - sign c	hanged from PA table, a	as PA table represents	costs, not benefits			
Option values	L	1.00						
Present Value of Benefits (see notes) (PVB)	721422	(PVB) = (12) +	+ (13) + (14) + (15) + (16	6) + (1a) + (1b) + (5) + (	(17) - (11)			
Broad Transport Budget	161016	40						
broad mansport budget	101810							
Present Value of Costs (see notes) (PVC)	161916	(PVC) = (10)						
OVERALL IMPACTS								
Net Present Value (NPV)	559506	NPV=PVB-PV	с					
Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR)	4.46	BCR=PVB/PV0	с					
Note . This table includes costs and boxoffo which are resultative		ted in monotic	form in traconert eccor	ieale together with	a where monotionation	ie in prespect T	hara may ch	to be other
significant costs and benefits, some of which cannot be presented used as the sole basis for decisions.	in monetised form. V	Where this is the	case, the analysis pres	ented above does NOT	provide a good measure	ure of value for m	oney and sh	iould not be

#### Table A.3 – TEE Table – Optimistic Scheme

Table 1: Transport Economic Efficiency B	enefits							
Consumers - Commuting	ALL MODES		RC	DAD	BUS & COACH	RAIL		OTHER
User Benefits	TOTAL		Private Ca	ars & LGVs	Passengers	Passeng	gers	
Travel Time	176253		178	932	-2679			
Vehicle Operating Costs User Charges	259		30	0	259			
During Construction & Maintenance	0			0	200			
NET CONSUMER BENEFITS (COMMUTING)	179521	(1a)	181	941	-2420	0		0
Occurrence Office Harman					<b>BUA A COACU</b>			OTUER
Lonsumers - Other Users	TOTAL		RU Private Ca	ars&IGVs	Passengers	Passeno	iers	OTHER
Travel Time	310242	]	315	358	-5116		,	
Vehicle Operating Costs	-6176		-6	176				
User Charges	363			0	363			
NET CONSUMER BENEFITS (OTHERS)	304429	(1b)	309	0182	-4753	0		0
Business					-	-		
User Benefits Travel Time	433029	1	232351	200560	Passengers 118	Passengers	Freight	
Vehicle Operating Costs	52207		8832	43375				
User Charges	21		0	0	21			
During Construction & Maintenance	0	(7)	0	0	400			0
Subtotai	485257	(2)	241183	243935	139	U	0	0
Private Sector Provider Impacts					Passengers	Passeng	gers	
Revenue	-15228				-15228			
Uperating Costs	0							
Grant/Subsidy	0							
Subtotal	-15228	(3)		0	-15228	0		0
		-						
Utner Business Impacts	0	(4)						
NET BUSINESS IMPACT	470029	(*) (5) = (2) + (3)	+ (4)			1		
TOTAL								
Present Value of Transport Economic Efficiency Benefits	953979	(6) = (1a) + (1	b) + (5)					
	Notes: Benefits a	nnear as nositi	ve numbers, while cost	e annear as negative	numbers			
	notes. Benefite a	ppear as poora		io appear ao negativo				
Table 2: Public Accounts								
	ALL MODES		ROAD	BUS and COACH	PAU	OTHER		
Local Government Funding	TOTAL		INFRASTRUCTURE	bus and concil			1	
Revenue Operating Costs	780		780					
Investment Costs	39254	]	39254					
Developer and Other Contributions	0	]	0					
Grant/Subsidy Payments	0	(7)	0					
NET IMPACT	40034	0	40034				1	
Central Government Funding: Transport								
Revenue	0		0					
Operating costs	133848		133848					
Developer and Other Contributions	0		0					
Grant/Subsidy Payments	0		0					
NET IMPACT	133848	(8)						
Central Government Funding: Non-Transport								
Indirect Tax Revenues	-7406	(9)	-4854	-2552			]	
TUTALS Broad Transport Budget	173882	(10) = (7) + (9	9					
Wider Public Finances	-7406	(11) = (9)	7					
Notes: Costs appear as positive numbers, while revenues and 'Dev	eloper and Other Cor	ntributions' appe	ar as negative numbers.					
Air entries are discounted present values in 2002 prices and values								
Table 3: Analysis of Monetised Costs and	Benefits							
Noise		(12)						
Local Air Quality Greenhouse Gases	1252	(13)						
Journey Ambience	-1202	(15)						
Accidents	16000	(16)						
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Commuting)	179521	(1a) (1b)						
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Other) Economic Efficiency: Business Users and Providers	304429 470029	(10) (5)						
Wider Public Finances (Indirect Taxation Revenues)	-7406	- (11) - sign c	hanged from PA table, a	as PA table represents	costs, not benefits			
Option Values		(17)						
Present Value of Benefits (see notes) (PVB)	976133	(PVB) = (12) +	+ (13) + (14) + (15) + (16	6) + (1a) + (1b) + (5) + (	17) - (11)			
Broad Transport Budget	173882	(10)						
Present Value of Costs (see notes) (PVC)	173882	(PVC) = (10)						
OVERALL IMPACTS								
Net Present Value (NPV)	802251	NPV=PVB-PV	с					
Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR)	5.61	BCR=PVB/PV0	C					
Note . This table includes posts and benefits which are resulted		tod in months	form in tractional according	incle teasther with	a whore months the	ia ia ara		a ha athre
significant costs and benefits, some of which are regularly o significant costs and benefits, some of which cannot be presented used as the sole basis for decisions.	in monetised form. V	Where this is the	case, the analysis pres	ented above does NOT	re where monetisation provide a good measu	ins in prospect. Th ire of value for mo	oney and sh	ould not be

\_\_\_\_\_n Enable

#### Table A.4 - TEE Table – Pessimistic Scheme

Table 1: Transport Economic Efficiency E	enefits							
Consumers - Commuting	ALL MODES		RO	AD	BUS & COACH	RAIL		OTHER
User Benefits	TOTAL		Private Ca	rs&LGVs	Passengers	Passeng	jers	
Travel Time Vehicle Operating Costs	156724		159	403	-2679			
User Charges	259		0	)	259			
During Construction & Maintenance	0		0	)				
NET CONSUMER BENEFITS (COMMUTING)	158427	(1a)	160	847	-2420	0		0
Consumers - Other Users	ALL MODES		RO	AD	BUS & COACH	RAIL		OTHER
User Benefits	TOTAL		Private Ca	rs & LGVs	Passengers	Passeng	gers	
Travel Time Vehicle Operating Costs	280218		285	334	-5116			
User Charges	363			)	363			
During Construction & Maintenance	0		0	)				
NET CONSUMER BENEFITS (OTHERS)	274659	(1b)	279	412	-4753	0		0
Business								
User Benefits			Personal	Freight	Passengers	Passengers	Freight	
Travel Time Vehicle Operating Costs	379981		197816	182047	118			
User Charges	21		0	0	21			
During Construction & Maintenance	0		0	0				
Subtotal	427403	(2)	205767	221497	139	0	0	0
Private Sector Provider Impacts					Passengers	Passend	gers	
Revenue	-15228				-15228			
Operating Costs	0							
Grant/Subsidy	0							
Subtotal	-15228	(3)	0	)	-15228	0		0
Utner Business Impacts	0	(4)						
NET BUSINESS IMPACT	412175	(4) (5) = (2) + (3)	+ (4)					
TOTAL	045004	(6) = (1 =) + (1	b) + (E)					
Present value of fransport Economic Efficiency Benefits	045201	(0) = (1a) + (1	0) + (3)					
	Notes: Benefits a	opear as positi	ve numbers, while cost	s appear as negative	numbers			
Table 2: Public Accounts								
Local Government Funding	ALL MODES		ROAD	BUS and COACH	RAIL	OTHER		
Revenue	0		0					
Operating Costs	780		780					
Investment Costs Developer and Other Contributions	39254		39254					
Grant/Subsidy Payments	0		0					
NET IMPACT	40034	(7)	40034					
Central Government Funding: Transport								
Revenue	0							
Operating costs	0		400040					
Investment Costs Developer and Other Contributions	0		133040					
Grant/Subsidy Payments	0							
NET IMPACT	133848	(8)						
Central Government Funding: Non-Transport								
Indirect Tax Revenues	-11478	(9)	-8926	-2552			]	
70741.0								
Broad Transport Budget	173882	(10) = (7) + (8	8)					
Wider Public Finances	-11478	(11) = (9)	·					
Note: Coste annear as positivo sumbors units	aloner and Other C	tributional	ar ao nazativo cumber					
All entries are discounted present values in 2002 prices and values	s.	mounous appe	ar as negative numbers.					
Table 3: Analysis of Monetised Costs and	Benefits							
Naina		(12)						
Local Air Quality		(12)						
Greenhouse Gases	-1168	(14)						
Journey Ambience	10000	(15)						
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Commuting)	158427	(10) (1a)						
Economic Efficiency: Consumer Users (Other)	274659	(1b)						
Economic Efficiency: Business Users and Providers	412175	(5)		- 04 4-14				
Option Values	-114/8	- (11) - sign c (17)	nangeo trom PA table, a	s PA table represents	costs, not benefits			
	L	1.17						
Present Value of Benefits (see notes) (PVB)	871571	(PVB) = (12) ·	+ (13) + (14) + (15) + (16	i) + (1a) + (1b) + (5) + (	(17) - (11)			
Broad Transport Budget	173882	(10)						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1.197						
Present Value of Costs (see notes) (PVC)	173882	(PVC) = (10)						
OVERALL IMPACTS								
Net Present Value (NPV)	697689	NPV=PVB-PV	с					
Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR)	5.01	BCR=PVB/PV	с					
Note : This table includes costs and benefits which are regularly of	r occasionally presen	ted in monetised	d form in transport apprai	isals, together with son	ne where monetisation	is in prospect. T	here may als	o be other
significant costs and benefits, some of which cannot be presented	in monetised form. V	Vhere this is the	case, the analysis prese	ented above does NOT	provide a good measu	ure of value for m	oney and sh	ould not be
used as the sole basis for decisions.								

# Appendix B – Derivation of Weekend & Off Peak Annualisation Factors

**Plan Design Enable** 

- B.1.1 Annualisation factors for expanding modelled benefits across the year can be based on default values as recommended in the TUBA guidance, or on locally-observed traffic data. In cases where AM, inter-peak and PM peak models represent an average hour during each time period, default factors are easy to justify. The AM and PM peak periods are assumed to represent the periods 0700-1000 and 1600-1900 respectively, that is, three hours in each peak period. A factor of three would be applied to an AM/PM average hour model, to reflect the fact that average conditions occur on three hours of the day. Assuming 253 working days across the year (365 days less 104 weekend days and 8 Bank Holidays), an annualisation factor of 759 would be adopted for the AM and PM models (253 days x 3 peak hours).
- B.1.2 The inter-peak is represented by the period 1000-1600, that is, a six-hour period, so total daily inter-peak benefits would be derived by applying a factor of 6 to the inter-peak modelled hour benefits. Assuming the same 253 working days across the year, a factor of 1518 would be adopted for the inter-peak (253 x 6 inter-peak hours).
- B.1.3 For the SEMMMS A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road Scheme, default values would be potentially misleading for the AM peak traffic model, as they represent the single peak hour, rather than average morning peak traffic conditions. In such instances, the benefits generated by the scheme proposals are likely to be overestimated, as peak hour conditions are unlikely to be replicated for all three hours of the AM peak period. The factor used to annualise peak hour modelled benefits, therefore, is lower than the default factors one would apply to 'average hour' models, as it takes into account the higher than average proportion of traffic in the peak hour relative to the respective three-hour peak periods.
- B.1.4 For the inter-peak and PM Peak average hour models, however, the default factor of 1518 and 759 respectively are valid as they are based on an average of inter-peak and PM peak hours and have therefore been adopted for this assessment.
- B.1.5 Annualisation factors for a Saturday and an off peak week day period of 19:00 07:00 have also been calculated and used as part of the TUBA assessment.
- B.1.6 The annualisation factors used for each time period are as follows:
  - Weekday AM Peak: 672;
  - Weekday PM Peak: 759;
  - Weekday Inter-Peak:1518;
  - Off Peak: 633: and
  - Weekend: 520.
- B.1.7 The off peak and Weekend annualisation factors have been specifically adjusted for this study. TRADS data was collated for 21 sites within the area of interest, most notably on the M56, M60 South and the A5103. The TRADS data enabled analysis of full 24 hour flow profiles for both weekday and weekend traffic.
- B.1.8 Both an average weekday off peak (19:00 07:00) hourly flow and an average weekend hourly flow was calculated. These were compared to an average inter-peak hour flow to obtain an 'interpeak to respective hour' factor. This factor was 0.319 for off-peak and 0.561 for weekend. The factors were then multiplied by the number of hours in the day, days in the week and weeks in the year for each respective time period. The resulting annualisation factors were 994 for off-peak and 1401 for weekend. Table B.1 below summaries the derivation of 'off-peak' and 'weekend' annualisation factors.

Factor Variable	Off-peak	Weekend
IP hour to respective hour	0.319	0.561
Hours in day	12	24
Days in week	5	2
Weeks in year	52	52
Annual Factor	994	1401

#### Table B.1 – Derivation of Off-Peak and Weekend Annualisation Factors

- B.1.9 An analysis of a typical weekend traffic flow profile revealed that 'inter-peak' traffic conditions are met for approximately 5 hours (for the period 1200 to 1700 as shown in the figure below). It was therefore it was felt that an annualisation factor of 1401 hours would dramatically over-estimate the numbers of weekend hours and as such distort the level of scheme benefit generated for the Weekend period. For the purposes of this appraisal it was decided to use the following assumptions to generate the weekend annualisation factor 5 hours (of inter peak travel volume conditions) x 2 weekend days x 52 weeks = 520.
- B.1.10 A similar assumption was made to generate the off-peak annualisation factor, as again it was felt that a figure of 994 was too high and distort an scheme benefit profile. An analysis of TRADS data showed that there were approximately 2.5 hours in the off peak period that match a typical inter peak flow profile. This was then multiplied by 253 (off peak week days in a year) to generate an off peak annualisation factor of 633.



#### Figure B.1 – Comparison of Weekday and Weekend Traffic Volumes (TRADS Data)

# Appendix C – Sector Benefits

**Plan Design Enable** 

# Preferred Option Scheme Core Scenario– 2017 Sectored Benefits – All Time Periods

Time Benefits, £m	1	2	3	4		5	6	7	8	9	1	0	11	12	13		14	15	1	6	17	Total	1	PVB, £m	1	2	3	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	1 -	12	13	14	15	1	16	17	Total	Percentage	Bank
1	61	130	16	3 21	8 1	89 ·	13	134	141	8	5 1	5	16	82	18		155	3	2	7	78	1381		1	78	16	1 2	1 2	211 1	189	16	153	130	90	20	18	3 7	77	20	150	1	5	53	92	1481	10%	3
2	28	-54	23	3 16	0 1	49 3	36	32	197	12	7 -3	30	-4	31	-1		90	2	1	10	46	941		2	23	-48	3 14	4 1	47 1	178	38	33	197	125	-29	-1	0	20	-1	105	0	1	26	53	971	6%	7
3	15	100	38	3 -1	4 1	40 2	22	13	78	5	5 3	37	33	6	15	-	157	13	4	3 .	10	739		3	17	104	4 4	2 -	-18 1	152	24	9	69	70	40	29	3	7	16	146	24	6	61	-13	782	5%	9
4	297	146	74	36	0 1	43 3	35	521	73	-12	27	6	34	-24	-14		228	3	1	11 1	52	2017		4	317	14	8 9	7 4	100 1	150	32	575	60	-123	3 15	32	2 -	17	-9	207	0	1	30	212	2228	15%	1
5	310	186	10	9 17	6	0 -	25	39	-82	6	3	8	3	65	53	-	106	-3	-1	17	51	735		5	313	200	6 12	20 1	71	0	-28	43	-74	69	15	3		50	56	-89	-7	-1	119	86	814	5%	8
6	31	38	36	6	1 -2	29	-3	59	0	42	2 1	8	3	29	22		-36	-3	-	5	56	319		6	35	40	4	1 3	53	-27	-3	63	1	39	20	4		28	23	-28	-7		-4	98	375	2%	14
7	204	69	31	42	7 4	.4 4	48	244	57	60	3 3	33	19	5	11		129	1	9	8 2	235	1719		7	235	81	3	8 4	159	52	49	274	56	43	41	2.	1	3	6	153	-3	9	95	255	1860	12%	2
8	143	165	10	0 13	<u>0</u> -8	30	21	84	93	54	1 1	3	16	27	43		-1	-14	3	5	86	915		8	139	170	0 10	00 1	16	-71	25	107	113	49	15	22	2 (	32	54	25	-21	4	40	73	988	7%	6
9	19	121	22	-1	52 4	9 2	29	34	64	-1	9	3	36	-10	-7		251	5	12	25	16	586		9	12	13	3 3	7 -1	177	53	24	-19	58	-19	3	35	5	-7	-4	340	8	1	27	33	637	4%	11
10	0	-20	19	-1	8 1	9	9	12	18	4		7	2	5	14		20	0	2	8	-1	118		10	2	-12	2 1	9 -	-21	21	10	17	16	5	8	1	_	6	10	14	0	2	25	-3	118	1%	17
11	9	12	5	1	9 -	5	1	9	3	38	3	4	5	6	2		38	0		7	28	182		11	5	-4	2	2 .	12	-3	2	10	5	33	4	5		-2	2	40	0	1	14	36	161	1%	16
12	14	53	9	-3	2 8	1	13	16	31	-8	3 (	6	6	0	0	2	233	3	7	2	4	500		12	9	29	) 7	7 -	-28	57	9	16	33	-6	8	0	)	0	-1	283	3	8	35	5	510	3%	12
13	3	3	13	3 -3	7 3	0	12	15	28	-1	3	32	2	1	0		78	0	12	28	7	314		13	2	4	1:	2 -	-29	28	13	17	31	0	28	2		3	0	74	0	1	48	11	343	2%	15
14	9	12	11	4	4 -1	8	-2	29	-13	37	7 2	24	45	10	15		108	0	(	)	82	393		14	7	15	2	1 2	23	-11	-1	23	-12	32	33	48	3	6	55	114	0		4	88	443	3%	13
15	215	161	15	1 23	0 -1	29 -	29	31	-8	19	3 (	0	0	343	117		0	0	-2	23	63	1314		15	206	16	8 12	22 2	221 -	131	-21	42	9	199	-12	0	) 4	50	77	0	0	-	14	75	1391	9%	4
16	18	143	65	5 13	6 -7	78	9	140	16	15	3 4	2	21	94	200		22	-1	-2	25	37	992		16	36	18	8 10	01 1	140	-60	6	153	33	134	43	25	5 1	05	231	46	-1	-:	31	24	1173	8%	5
17	91	37	11	10	2 5	4	27	46	53	3		5	26	0	5		146	2	1	3	0	620		17	102	64	1	5 1	23	73	25	48	52	29	11	27	7	2	25	167	0	1	11	0	775	5%	10
Total	1468	1301	73	4 ##	# 5	58 2	15 1	1456	750	) 76	8 2	23	260	671	493	1	512	11	62	26 9	30	13786		Total	1539	144	8 81	10 18	804 6	649	221	1565	775	768	3 264	26	3 7	764	561	1748	-3	7	'50 1	125	15050	100%	
																							-	Percentage	10%	10%	% 5%	% 1	2%	4%	1%	10%	5%	5%	2%	2%	6 5	5%	4%	12%	0%	5	5%	7%	100%		-
Fuel VOC Benefits, £m	1	2	3	4		5	6	7	8	9	1	0	11	12	13		14	15	1	6	17	Total	1	Rank	4	5	7	7	1	12	16	3	8	9	14	15	5 .	10	13	2	17	1	11	6		•	
1	8	15	1	1	0 1	3	1	14	6	8		2	1	1	2		9	0	1	0	0	101		-																							
2	-5	-5	-2	7	2	4	1	2	16	10	5 -	-4	-5	2	0		13	0	1	1	0	70		Origin	PVB	%	,							Secto	ors												
3	0	7	3		7 1	7	2	-4	8	9		3	1	1	1		20	8	1	2	0	80		4	2228	15%	%			No.	Locati	on															
4	24	16	9	3	3 1	6	1	45	5	-1	0	0	5	-1	-1		20	0		Э	54	224		7	1860	12%	%			1	Bramh	all / C	headle	/ Hea	ald Gre	en											
5	20	20	15	5 1	2	0	-4	2	-4	8		3	-1	9	4		-5	0		1	43	124		1	1481	10%	%			2	Wilms	low / S	Styal /	Handf	orth												
6	2	2	4	C	-	2	0	5	0	5		1	0	1	2		2	-1		1	43	65		15	1391	9%	, D			3	Poynto	n / W	oodfor	d / Pre	estbury	/ Bol	lingto	n									
7	21	8	1	3	2	5	2	22	3	-1	2	3	1	-2	-2		8	0		7	18	116		16	1173	8%	, D			4	Hazel	Grove	/ Offer	ton / I	Marple	/ Gee	Cros	s									
8	9	18	10	) 6	- 1	3	2	11	9	7		3	3	6	10		2	-1	2	2	6	98		8	988	7%	, D			5	Manch	ester	Airpor														
9	-2	23	8	-2	6	8	2	-30	5	-1		0	6	0	0		76	2	1	1	2	84		2	971	6%				6	Didsbu	ry / G	iatley /	Withi	ngton												
10	0	0	1	-(	3	2	1	1	1	0	(	0	0	0	1		0	0		1	-5	-2		5	814	5%	,			7	Stock	ort			-												
11	-2	-9	0	C		0	0	1	0	4		0	0	1	0		2	0		2	2	3		3	782	5%	,			8	Altrinc	ham /	Sale /	Strett	ord												
12	-1	7	0	-4	1	8	0	0	5	0		0	0	0	0		54	1	9	3	0	90		17	775	5%	,			9	Dislev	/ Hiał	Lane	/ Wha	alev Bri	dae											
13	-1	0	1	-6	3	2	1	-4	5	0		1	0	0	0		2	0	1	2	-1	14		9	637	4%	,			10	Maccle	esfield			,	- 3 -											
14	-2	-6	-1	-		1	0	1	-1	1		1	3	0	2		-1	0	-	1	7	3		12	510	3%				11	Knutsf	ord / C	Chelfor	d / No	rthwich	r											
15	13	20	24	2	5 -1	0	3	1	1	2	7 (	0	0	77	1		0	0	-	1	-1	182		14	443	3%	,			12	East o	f Cord	on / P	eak Di	istrict												
16	2	28	15	5 5		0	1	11	9	1	1	1	2	10	24		8	0	_	2	-1	123		6	375	2%				13	South	Fast	of Corc	lon													
17	9	5		1	4	4	1	4	4			o l	1	0	2		6	1		<u> </u>	0	49		13	343	2%				14	South	West	of Cor	don													
Total	98	150	80	1 9	1 9	4	15	83	71	7	> 1	4	17	105	44		215	10	8	7 1	69	1423		10	161	1%				15	West	of Cor	don														
10101	00	100						00						100			210	10		,	00	1120	1	10	118	1%				16	Northw	est of	Corde	n													
Non-Fuel VOC Benefits fm	1	2	3	4		5	6	7	8	9	1	0	11	12	13		14	15	1	6	17	Total	1	10	110	170	5			17	Northe	ast of	Cordo	n													
1	9	16	4	-1	7 -1	3	2	5	-17		3	3	2	-6	0		-14	-3	1	7	14	-1		Destination	PVB	%	,						20100							_							
2	Ō	12	-7	-2	0	5	0	-1	-17	-1	8	5	0	-13	0		2	-2		5	7	-41		4	1804	129	%																				
3	3	-4	2	4		5	0	1	-16	6		0	-5	0	0		-31	4		7	-3	-37	1	14	1748	129	%																				
4	-4	-13	13	3 8	-	9	-4	9	-18	14	1 1	0	-6	9	7		-41	-3	1	0	6	-14		7	1565	10%	%																				
5	-18	0	-4	- 1	7	0	1	1	12		5	3	1	-25	-2		22	-4	-	3	-9	-45		1	1539	10%	%																				
6	2	0	1	-{	3	3	0	0	1	-{	3	1	1	-2	-1		6	-3	(	)	-1	-8		2	1448	10%	%																				
7	10	4	7	C		3	-1	9	-5	-1	1 (	6	0	0	-2		16	-4	-1	0	3	25		17	1125	7%	, b																				
8	-13	-13	-10	0 -2	0 1	2	2	12	10	- 1	2 (	0	3	-1	2		25	-6		3 -	19	-25		3	810	5%	, D																				
9	-6	-11	7	1	-	4	-6	-24	-11	1	(	0	-6	3	3		12	1	-1	0	16	-33		8	775	5%	b																				
10	2	8	-1	3		1	0	4	-3	0		1	-1	0	-5		-6	0	-	5	3	2		9	768	5%	b																				
11	-2	-7	-3	-{	3	2	1	0	1	-8	3 -	-1	0	-9	0		0	0	4	4	6	-24		12	764	5%	b																				
12	-4	-31	-2	8	-4	12	-4	0	-4	1	:	2	-6	0	-1		-4	-1		5	1	-80		16	750	5%	b																				
13	0	1	-2	1	5 -	4	0	5	-2	0	-	-6	-1	2	0		-6	0	8	3	5	16	1	5	649	4%	þ																				
14	-1	8	10	) -1	9	7	1	-7	2	-6	5 6	8	0	-4	39	_	7	0	2	2	-2	47	1	13	561	4%	D																				
15	-22	-14	-5	3 -3	4	8	6	10	16	-2	1 -1	12	0	30	-41	_	0	0	1	0	13	-104	-	10	264	2%	D																				
16	16	17	20		1	8	-3	3	9	-3	U (	U	2	1	7	_	16	0		4	13	58	-	11	263	2%	D .																				
17	2	22	4	8		5	-3	-2	-5	27		6	1	2	19	_	15	-3			U	105	-	6	221	1%	D																				
Iotal	-26	-1	-10	U   -9	1	2	-3	33	-38	-6	4 3	57	-4	U	37		35	-10	5	4	42	-7	1	15	-3	0%	o																				

Plan Design Enable

## Preferred Option Scheme Core Scenario – 2032 Sectored Benefits – All Time Periods

The Description of		•	•		_	•	-		•	40	44	40	10	1 4 4	1 45	10			<b>T</b>			4	•			-					1 40	1.4.4	140	140		45	1 10	1 4 7	<b>T</b>	<b>D</b>	L D. I
Time Benefits, £m	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	5 17	<u> </u>	lotal		PVB, £m	1	2	3	4	5	6 6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	Iotal	Percentage	Rank
1	206	226	102	314	271	31	173	135	66	65	23	71	28	168	5	35	5 69	)	1987		1	234	239	114	316	5 27	7 35	5 197	129	68	68	24	67	31	167	3	56	83	2108	11%	3
2	354	10	103	259	190	76	85	198	105	-11	-5	38	12	109	3	174	4 100	0	1799		2	352	27	108	259	9 21	9 80	) 90	203	107	1	2	35	15	138	1	190	105	1932	10%	4
3	62	117	47	87	138	24	77	66	36	31	29	11	15	123	9	58	3 14		944		3	68	119	52	90	15	0 26	3 80	61	46	32	28	12	17	122	12	78	16	1009	5%	10
1	347	196	101	376	103	12	632	75	-115	32	40	-32	-10	237	5	13	3 204		2530		4	360	105	211	414	1 10	9 41	681	64	-111	41	30	-23	_0	213	3	153	230	2700	1/%	1
	047	070	150	040	135	- 12	002	<b>F</b> 0	-113	02	40	100	-13	140	7	10	100		1750	_		700	005	170	941				41	70		10	-20	50	104	10	100	200	1000	00/	
5	0//	212	159	240	0	21	91	-50	74	33	14	132	60	-149	-/	-3	100	0	1752		5	703	295	170	241		24	2 97	-41	70	39	10	93	29	-124	-12	-21	210	1020	9%	S
6	81	48	49	77	-2	25	89	22	34	28	11	23	19	-38	-4	36	67	·	565		6	87	49	52	73	-1	29	9 93	28	31	34	11	20	18	-41	-7	38	112	626	3%	12
7	182	85	100	542	74	61	333	67	45	84	30	-7	3	164	2	13	2 128	<b>B</b> :	2027		7	212	91	107	571	1 83	3 62	2 360	70	28	83	31	-6	-6	184	0	131	145	2144	11%	2
8	205	160	101	160	-91	49	133	152	45	28	31	28	39	45	-40	12	2 149	9	1316		8	205	161	101	149	-8	4 54	4 150	169	42	29	33	31	45	60	-43	128	157	1390	7%	6
9	-16	74	-17	-193	42	15	-17	42	-23	1	24	-24	-18	188	3	85	-2		164		9	-27	80	-12	-219	9 46	3 13	-59	39	-23	1	25	-21	-16	248	4	86	6	169	1%	17
10	20	42	21	10	17	14	20	10	10	14	0	01	54	26		40	2 21		204		10	20	20	21	10			7 25	20	11	15	7	20	46	210	0	50	0	201	00/	14
10	22	-43	31	-10	17	14	32	10	10	14	0	21	54	30	0	40		_	294		10	20	-29	31	-12	20	2 17	35	20	05	15		20	40	20	0	52	9	301	2%	14
11	32	-3	12	28	0	10	24	23	26	13	6	8	5	50	0	37	66	)	337		11	31	2	12	23	3	10	) 23	23	25	12	6	1	5	53	0	44	73	353	2%	13
12	-6	39	5	-79	86	8	-4	21	-16	7	8	-1	0	165	3	42	-6		271		12	-10	37	4	-74	44	4 6	0	20	-13	7	0	1	2	215	1	38	-2	276	1%	16
13	1	3	13	-46	25	9	10	23	-5	55	4	2	0	49	0	11:	3 22	2	278		13	0	3	13	-37	25	59	10	24	-2	52	-4	6	0	42	0	126	34	299	2%	15
14	56	13	22	69	-8	21	84	28	41	70	95	11	31	224	0	104	4 22	1	1082		14	52	19	26	53	-3	3 23	3 81	24	42	70	104	17	72	240	0	113	251	1183	6%	8
15	255	124	144	259	-219	-33	58	-97	132	1	0	260	80	0	0	-37	7 13	5	1063		15	240	127	128	256	-22	23 -2	7 67	-88	128	-3	0	325	36	0	0	-29	160	1095	6%	9
16	14	138	72	178	-93	46	193	25	110	70	36	65	156	102	-4	-6	40		1152		16	35	159	102	187	7 -8	7 4	1 208	38	102	66	38	63	163	122	-4	-8	49	1278	6%	7
17	75	40	14	171	00	20	100	57	4	10	20	00	100	102		11		<u> </u>	946	-	17	00	46	20	107		4 21	110	50	102	15	41	00	25	210	0	10	40	1006	E 9/	11
	75	40	14	171	90	32	100	57	4	13	30	0	3	192	2	11	4		040			00	40	20	193	5 12	4 3		59	19	15	41	2	35	210	0	10	4	1000	3%	
lotal	2544	1499	1146	###	/15	453	2093	806	568	533	393	605	470	1666	-23	108	34 143	32 1	18414		lotal	2649	1621	1240	0 2484	4 79	3 47	3 2224	840	577	564	401	656	513	1875	-42	1185	1656	19707	100%	
																					Percentage	13%	8%	6%	13%	6 49	6 29	6 11%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	10%	0%	6%	8%	100%		
Fuel VOC Benefits, £m	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	5 17	, .	Total		Rank	1	6	7	2	10	0 15	5 3	9	12	13	16	11	14	4	17	8	5		-	
1	14	13	7	13	15	2	13	5	4	4	1	1	3	9	0	10	0		114						_			_											4		
2	11	2	6	12	21	4	6	14	9	0	1	3	1	17	0	11	0		117		Origin	PVR	%							Sect	ore				1						
2	4	<u> </u>	0	2	10		0	5	4	4	4	0	-	10		10		_	70		ongin 4	0700	1 4 0/			N				0000	013										
3	4	C	3	3	13		2	Э	4	1	-	0	•	10	3	12	0	_	70		4	2709	14%			INC	J. LO	cation													
4	17	11	13	24	13	1	35	4	-7	1	3	-1	-1	14	0	11	28	3	167		7	2144	11%			1	Bra	amhall /	Chead	dle / He	ald Gre	en									
5	35	19	13	13	-19	0	5	-1	6	3	0	10	3	-4	0	-17	7 11		77		1	2108	11%			2	. Wi	Imslow	/ Stya	I / Hand	dforth										
6	4	2	3	1	-1	2	4	2	2	4	1	1	2	-7	-1	1	49		69		2	1932	10%			3	Po	vnton /	Wood	ford / P	restbur	/ / Bolli	naton								
7	15	6	4	. 27	6	-	10	-	•	2	1	1	6	10	0		0		08		5	1000	0%			4	Ha	zel Gro	$v_{\rm P}$ / Of	forton /	Marole	/ Geo	Cross								
1	15	0	-	21	0	2	19	4	-0	2	1	- 1	-0	10	•	9	3	_	30		5	1020	J /0			4					maipie	/ 000	01033								
8	10	11	1	5	-3	3	14	8	4	3	2	5	1	3	-2	4	9		88		8	1390	7%			5	o IVIa	anchest	er Airp	ort											
9	-4	10	2	-23	5	0	-20	2	-1	0	3	0	0	51	1	5	0		31		16	1278	6%			6	i Dio	dsbury /	Gatle	y / Witl	nington										
10	1	1	2	-4	2	2	3	3	1	0	0	1	2	2	0	5	-7		15		14	1183	6%			7	' Ste	ockport													
11	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	5	7		24		15	1095	6%			8	Alt	rinchan	ı / Sale	e / Stre	tford										
10		4	4	F	4.5		-		_		4	0	0	4.4				-	50		0	1000	E0/							$\sim / M/k$		idao									
12	-1	4	-1	-5	15	U	- 1	3	U	U	-	0	U	41	0	3	0	_	59		3	1009	5%			9		siey / n	iyii Lai		aley Di	luge									
13	0	0	1	-5	2	0	-3	3	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	8	3		16		17	1006	5%			10	) Ma	acclestie	eld												
14	1	-1	1	1	0	1	4	1	2	3	5	1	5	6	0	8	21		56		6	626	3%			11	1 Kn	utsford	/ Chelf	ord / N	orthwic	า									
15	12	11	20	23	-13	-1	4	-4	16	0	0	61	1	0	0	-2	9		136		11	353	2%			12	2 Ea	st of Co	ordon /	Peak [	District										
16	1	12	10	•	2	2	17	5	6	2	2	5	16	0	0	1	1		07		10	201	20/			1.5	2 50	uth Ead	t of Co	ordon											
10	-	10	12		-2	~	- 17	5	0	5	~	0	10	10		-1	-		37		10	001	2 /0																		
17	/	4	3	14	4	1	8	4	0	-1	2	0	2	13	0	0	0		60		13	299	2%			14	4 50	utn we	st of C	ordon											
Total	125	110	94	109	59	22	110	59	41	27	24	85	34	184	2	72	2 140	0	1299		12	276	1%			15	5 We	est of C	ordon												
																					9	169	1%			16	3 No	rthwest	of Cor	don											
Non-Fuel VOC Benefits fm	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	5 I 17	,   .	Total							17	7 No	rtheast	of Cor	don											
1	14	0	5	-11	-0	2	11	-11	-2	_1	0	-5	0	-10	-2	10	0 1/		7		Destination	PVR	0/_							-					1						
i	14	15	-	10	- 3	2 0		- 1 1	-2	10	0	-5	0	10	-2	12	. 14	·	16		1	2640	/0																		
2	-12	10	-1	-13	0	U	-1	-0	-1	12	0	-0	2	12	-2	0		_	10		4	2049	13%																		
3	3	-3	3	U	-1	U	1	-10	5	U	-2	U	1	-19	0	9	2		-10		4	2484	13%																		
4	-4	-12	7	15	-7	-3	14	-15	11	8	-5	9	12	-38	-3	9	4		3		7	2224	11%																		
5	-8	4	-2	-12	-5	1	1	10	-3	3	2	-49	-4	28	-4	-2	17	'	-24		14	1875	10%																		
6	2	-1	0	-6	2	2	-1	3	-5	3	0	-3	-2	4	-3	1	-4		-8		17	1656	8%																		
7	15	0	3	2	3	-1	8	-2	-9	-3	-1	2	-3	10	-3	-11	1 8		19		2	1621	8%																		
8	-0	-9	-7	-17	11	2	4	<u> </u>	-6	-1	0	-2	_1	13	0	3			-13		3	1240	6%																		
		Л	4	0	0	-	-			-		2	0						26		16	1105	60/																		
9	-6	-4	4	-3	-2	-3	-22	-0	1	U	-2	3	2	9	U	-5	8		-20		10	1185	0%																		
10	-3	12	-1	2	2	1	0	-1	0	0	-1	7	-10	-10	0	-1	-4		-8		8	840	4%																		
11	-2	4	-1	-5	2	0	-2	-1	-3	-1	0	-2	0	1	0	3	0		-8		5	793	4%																		
12	-2	-6	0	10	-58	-2	6	-4	3	0	-9	2	2	8	-2	-6	4		-54		12	656	3%																		
13	0	0	-1	15	-3	-1	3	-2	3	-6	-8	4	0	-10	0	4	9		6		9	577	3%																		
14	-5	8	4	-17	5	1	-7	-4	0	-3	4	5	36	10	0	1	à		45		10	564	3%																		
15	07	0	26	26	0	•	F	10	21	4	, ,	F	46	0		10			102		12	510	20/																		
15	-21	-0	-30	-20	3	0	:) 	13	-21	-4	U	ე -	-40	10		10		,	-103		13	470	3%																		
16	19	9	19	1	8	-5	-2	1	-14	-6	U	-1	-9	12	U	-2	-1		29		6	4/3	2%																		
17	5	3	4	8	30	-2	2	-3	15	4	1	2	30	5	-2	-2	0		100		11	401	2%																		
Total	-21	12	-1	-57	-5	-2	20	-25	-33	5	-16	-33	9	24	-21	29	84		-30		15	-42	0%																		

### Low Cost Alternative Scenario – 2017 Sectored Benefits – All Time Periods

Timo Bonofito Sm	1 2	2	4	5 0	۰ L ۵	7	0	0	10	111	10	12	1/	1	15	16	17	Tota		DVR Sm	1		2	2	4	5	6	7	0	0	10	11	12	1 12		1	15	16	17	Total	Porcontago	Pank
	92 160	5 1		10		12 1	110	3	10	14	20	10	10	•	15	10	60	100	•	FVD, ZIII	10	2 4	2	0	140	142	0	120	102	9	10	16	02	1.4		•	13	22	71	1112		
1	82 160	5 1		48 0		13	118	23	18	14	28	12	10	9	0	3	00	1059		1	10	3	174	0	149	143	9	130	102	25	10	10	23	14		2	4	33	71	1113	10%	3
2	165 -20	5 1	134 1	28 4	5 5	54	191	58	-37	-13	25	-3	80		4	125	70	1008	•	2	17	<b>&gt;</b>	-5	-12	114	146	40	5 54	187	52	-36	-15	12	-3	94	4	3	142	74	1029	9%	4
3	18 109	29	4 1	11 2	2 2	28	84	10	33	25	0	12	13	3	14	38	-1	669		3	21	1	102	28	11	116	25	5 29	73	22	34	22	1	13	3 12	5	18	60	-3	694	6%	8
4	228 132	-2 3	343 1	20 3	6 43	-34	87	-20	-1	26	-14	-16	19	7	6	110	165	1830	)	4	23	B 1	129	11	379	123	31	472	70	-10	1	26	-6	-8	17	0	3	126	222	1976	17%	1
5	287 128	88 1	162	0 -3	38 5	53	-92	50	0	-8	27	49	-12	29	-6	-128	55	501		5	29	6 1	141	93	152	0	-38	8 57	-67	46	2	-10	20	38	3 -12	28 -	12	-139	98	547	5%	9
6	31 34	42	58 -	54 1	9 6	66	21	40	15	3	12	50	-4	6	-1	51	65	405		6	36	5	36	45	52	-56	23	<b>69</b>	30	30	18	4	11	36	-40	0	-1	53	100	447	4%	12
7	117 60	8 3	372 2	29 4	2 1	51	76	56	28	16	9	14	69	9	1	142	140	1329		7	13	9	64	6	393	32	42	2 168	77	43	30	16	12	11	83	3	-2	135	155	1405	12%	2
8	123 120 1	101 1	139 -1	143 2	3 9	92	10	82	44	15	13	101	-13	3	-12	32	98	827		8	12	1 1	123	97	117	-124	38	3 106	29	51	47	20	19	54	-1	-	12	35	99	818	7%	5
9	-18 68	-8 -1	121 4	43 2	4 -	-3	74	-9	2	18	-10	-11	17	0	4	64	31	317		9	-13	3	76	5	-138	39	16	-54	50	-9	2	19	-9	-7	23	5	5	61	40	314	3%	13
10	9 -11	9 -	-15	2 2	2 2	20	68	2	7	2	4	15	13	3	0	60	16	224		10	12	2	-3	9	-17	4	25	5 23	67	2	8	1	4	9	9	)	0	59	6	217	2%	15
11	18 16	4	14 -	11 4	4 1	13	5	14	3	4	5	2	25	5	0	17	36	171		11	16	,	19	2	5	-8	5	13	7	10	3	4	-4	2	27	7	0	23	44	167	1%	17
12	-3 42	3 -	-32	30	5 9	9	16	-6	4	5	0	0	11	0	3	36	3	224		12	-6		33	1	-28	29	2	10	16	-5	5	3	0	0	14	5	1	36	4	246	2%	14
13	0 2	8 -	-36	35 2	9 1	10	69	-7	24	2	1	0	10	<u> </u>	0	83	15	246		13	0		3	5	-27	20	19	) 5	30	-6	19	1	2	0	10	2	0	77	17	177	2%	16
14	30 15	a ·	34 -	35	6 4	40	-16	21	21	44	2	14	77	7	0	21	130	412		14	31	-	29	14	14	-29	7	30	-18	18	20	48	4	23	1 85	5	0	28	142	453	4%	11
15	202 124 1	137 1	195	151 -3	25 2	20	-70	138	1	0	85	38			0	-21	86	767	_	15	20		125	106	170	-147	-16	6 34	-65	130	-2	-3	112	36		_	0	-13	95	770	7%	6
10	202 124	01 1				23	-70	50	50	0	00	50	0	_	1	-21	50	107	_	10	20		123	100	115	-147	-10	0 34	-03	139	-2	-3	112	17		_	1	-13	35	710	1 /0	7
10	-29 141		106 -	128 4	0 0	37	44	54	53	20	9	51	5	_	-1	-2	50	618		10	- 1-	+	00	80	115	-115	49	9 144	58	39	00	24	9	1/	20	2	-1	-0	45	712	0%	/
17	48 27	0 1	102	17 3	2 2	29	59	9	0	21	U	-1	73	3	1	21	2	439	_	17	54		32	1	119	19	30	32	54	19	3	18	0	19	83	3	-1	19	2	504	4%	10
lotal	1308 1147 4	497 #	### 1	41 3	02 12	276	/45	514	214	196	194	325	88	3	18	653	1021	1104	9	Iotal	14(	13 1	262	490	1581	191	31	1 133	) 702	466	226	194	209	25	4 102	25	6	728	1212	11590	100%	
						-							_						_	Percentage	e 12º	% 1	1%	4%	14%	2%	3%	6 11%	6%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	6 9%	6 (	)%	6%	10%	100%		
Fuel VOC Benefits, £m	1 2	3	4	5 (	6 7	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	1	15	16	17	Tota	1	Rank	2		4	9	1	16	11	1 3	8	10	13	15	14	12	2 6		17	7	5			
1	9 12	-4	9	8	0 1	12	0	3	-1	1	1	0	7		1	10	0	68																								
2	10 0	-6	4	18 1	2 ;	3	12	9	-5	-4	1	0	12	2	1	11	0	68		Origin	PV	в	%							Secto	rs											
3	1 3	1	-3	12 1	2 -	-2	7	5	2	0	0	1	20	)	4	12	0	66		4	197	'6   1	7%			No.	Loca	ation														
4	18 14	0 :	30 ·	13 (	0 3	34	5	-3	-3	5	-1	-2	15	5	0	9	54	190		7	140	5 1	2%			1	Brar	mhall /	Cheadle	/ Heal	d Gree	n										
5	21 16	11	9	0 -	3 (	6	3	6	0	-3	5	3	-9		0	1	43	110		1	111	3 1	0%			2	Wilr	mslow /	Stval /	Handfo	orth											
6	2 2	3	1	-3	2	5	3	4	1	0	1	4	4		0	1	43	73		2	103		9%			3	Pov	nton / V	Voodfor	d / Pre	sthury	/ Bolli	naton									
	10 5	4	05			10	5	-	0	1		-		_	0	7	10	70	_	2	102		70/			4	Haz			ton / N	larnlo /	Gool	rocc									
1		-4 4	20	4 4	2 1	13	5	-/	0		0	-2	5	_	0		12	80	_	8	81		7%			4	Mar				iaipie /	Gee	51055									
8	/ 13	10	5	-2	/	13	3	9	6	2	4		2		-1	2	8	98	_	15	11		/%			5	iviar	icheste	Airpon													
9	-1 16	5 -	-20	6	1 -2	29	7	0	0	4	0	0	61	1	1	1	3	55		16	71	2   6	6%			6	Dids	sbury /	Jatley /	Withir	ngton											
10	1 0	1	-4	0 4	4 '	1	10	1	0	0	0	1	1		0	6	-10	11		3	69	4 6	6%			7	Sto	ckport														
11	0 0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	1		0	3	3	12		5	54	7 5	5%			8	Altri	incham	/ Sale /	Stretfo	ord											
12	0 6	-1	-3	9 (	0 (	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	36	3	1	-1	0	51		17	50	4 4	4%			9	Disl	ley / Hig	h Lane	/ Whal	ey Bric	lge										
13	0 0	1	-6	1 3	2 -	-5	9	0	1	0	0	0	1		0	0	1	7		14	45	3 4	4%			10	Mac	cclesfiel	d			-										
14	1 -2	-1	-3	1 (	0 3	2	-1	0	1	2	0	2	-1		0	4	13	19		6	44	7 4	4%			11	Knu	itsford /	Chelfor	d / Nor	thwich											
15	16 19	20	15	2	2	1	5	22	0		22	-			0	- 1	2	111		0	21		20/			10	Fae	t of Cor	don / P	aak Die	strict											
15	10 10	20	0	-2 4			-5	23	0		23		0		0	-1	2			9	01		3 /o 00/			12	Cau	th East	of Cord	on Die	Strict											
16	-2 25	6	6	3 :	5 1		8	4	1	2	1	-1	8	_	0	0		80		12	24		2%			13	50u															
17	5 1	-1	12	1	1 4	4	4	0	-2	1	0	1	2		0	0	0	29		10	21		2%			14	Sou	ith wes	t of Cor	don												
Total	102 130	43	79	70 2	8 6	69	74	55	3	13	37	17	16	5	7	65	174	1130	)	13	17	7 2	2%			15	Wes	st of Co	rdon													
																				11	16	7 .	1%			16	Nort	thwest of	of Cordo	n												
Non-Fuel VOC Benefits, £m	1 2	3	4	5 (	6 7	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	1	15	16	17	Tota	1							17	Nort	theast c	f Cordo	n												
1	12 3	-1 -	-15 -	13	2	5	-17	-1	-1	1	-5	2	-1-	4	-3	19	11	-15		Destination	PV	в	%			-																
2	-1 15	-12 -	-24	0 -	1 -	-2	-16	-14	6	2	-14	1	2		-2	7	4	-47		4	158	81 1	4%																			
3	2 -11	-2	10	-7 (	0	2	-17	7	0	-4	0	0	-2	8	0	9	-2	-42		1	140	3 1	2%																			
4	-8 -17	13	6 -	10 -	5	5	-22	13	5	-6	8	10	-4	2	-3	7	3	-44		7	133	30 1	1%																			
5	-13 -3	-7 -	-20	0	2 -	-2	22	-10	2	0	-13	-14			-5	-13	0	-63	-	2	126	2 1	1%																			
6	3 0	-1	-7	1	1 -	-2	5	-13	3		-1	-17	3	-	1	1	-8	-32	-	17	121	2 1	0%																			
7	9 -1	2	-4	-1 -	2	4	-4	-5	2	-1	3	-1	9		-3	-14	3	-4		14	102	5	9%																			
8	-9 -10	-13 -	.27	21 0		$\frac{1}{1}$	16	-40	-3	2	1	-57	10		1	1	-7	-107	-	16	72	R A	6%																			
	1 0	<u>q</u>	2	- 1 - 3		22	-31	-+0	-0	<u> </u>	1	-57	5	<u> </u>	-1		6	50	-	0	72		6%																			
3	· · · ·	1	2	1	v 2 1	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	11	0	0		0	4			0	-0	1	-00	-	0	10		10/																			
10		-1	4			<u>&lt;</u>	-11	U	U 4	-1	0	-0	-5	,	U	-/	-1	-18	-	3	49		+ 70																			
11	-2 3	-2	-9	2 0	<u> </u>	-2	1	-6	-1	0	-9	-1	$+$ $\frac{1}{2}$		U	3	4	-16	_	9	46		4%																			
12	-3 -16	-1	8 -	11 -	3	2	-3	1	1	-3	0	0	-1		-2	1	0	-29	_	6	31		3%																			
13	0 1	-3	14 -	15 -1	12 (	0	-48	0	-6	-1	1	0	-1		0	-6	1	-76		13	25	4 2	2%																			
14	-1 16	6 -	-18	5	1 -	-4	-1	-3	-2	2	1	7	9		0	3	0	21		10	22	6 2	2%																			
15	-18 -16	-50 -	-41	6	6 :	3	11	-22	-2	-3	5	-2	0		0	8	7	-109		12	20	9 2	2%																			
16	17 18	13	2	9 -	4 -	-3	6	-19	1	2	0	-32	13	3	1	-3	-6	14		11	19	4 2	2%																			
17	1 4	2	4	1 -	3 -	-1	-8	10	5	-3	0	20	7		-2	-2	0	35		5	19	1 2	2%																			
Total	-6 -14	-46 -1	111 -	15 -1	13 -	-9 -	-108	-93	19	-4	-9	-75	-9	)	-4	26	34	-436		15	6	(	0%																			
																	-			•	-																					

### Low Cost Alternative Scenario – 2032 Sectored Benefits – All Time Periods

				<b>—</b> ——			r _		- 1					<b>.</b>	<b>—</b>					-			-		<b>—</b>										1							
Time Benefits, £m	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	1	5 1	6	17	Total		PVB, £m	1	2	3	4	5	5 6	6 7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	Tota	I Perc	entage	Rank
1	161	320	37	127	170	31	125	122	15	45	27	18	18	156	7	1	4	35	1426		1	186	331	35	122	2 16	66 30	6 144	116	13	46	28	14	18	163	1	38	46	150	2	9%	5
2	426	37	22	136	136	83	71	181	37	-34	-13	20	1	89	6	21	05	80	1484		2	439	50	16	132	2 1	53 8	7 74	187	35	-29	-6	15	1	125	1	22	6 82	158	3 1	0%	4
-	05	101	40	00	110	00	04	114	10	00	10		14	100				10	075	-	-	07	00	40	100				101	10	20	10	7	4.4	104				100	7	20/0	0
3	95	101	48	96	110	33	94	114	10	29	10	0	14	120	2	0 3	00	19	975	_	3	97	98	49	102	2 1	10 34	2 90	104	10	30	10	/		124	22	60	22	100	/	5%	0
4	304	136	19	336	123	44	557	84	-49	4	31	-28	-32	183	10	6 12	25 2	225	2078		4	314	134	25	366	6 11	19 43	3 602	73	-48	3	29	-21	-26	165	12	14	5 261	219	7 1	3%	1
5	547	223	125	169	-109	46	138	30	43	26	26	47	41	13	-6	6 2	29 1	101	1488		5	566	240	126	6 161	1 (	0 5	2 145	54	39	29	31	29	32	39	-13	13	107	165	D 1	0%	3
6	80	57	56	50	-40	29	80	-4	25	32	27	17	35	-25	-4	1	8	42	476		6	84	58	57	46	-4	10 3	5 84	1	19	38	28	11	27	-16	-13	20	88	529		3%	12
7	160	110	20	252	00	04	200	115		50	20	15	10	160	4		02	70	1762	-	7	196	100	15	272		4 0	6 245	110	01	50	40	10	01	100	5	10	5 00	104		10/	0
1	102	119	22	303	09	04	322	115	-7	50	39	-15	-10	103	4	- 13	93	12	1703	-	1	100	120	10	373	5 9	4 0	0 345	119	-21	52	40	-15	-21	190	-0	19:	0 02	104		170	2
8	206	158	144	139	-133	51	145	-4	53	87	69	41	90	49	-7	2	24	96	1208		8	206	160	143	3 124	4 -1	14 5	8 159	8	42	86	73	29	68	52	0	34	. 99	122	5	3%	6
9	-35	29	-50	-137	28	12	-1	45	-12	-1	5	-25	-24	119	6	3	37 :	31	27		9	-41	36	-45	5 -16	5 2	6 6	-42	34	-11	-1	8	-22	-21	167	7	32	35	2		0%	17
10	34	-21	19	-10	2	34	36	64	5	10	5	14	31	25	0	6	37	22	335		10	31	-13	19	-13	2 4	5 3/	4 38	64	4	Q	4	15	26	21	0	70	13	325		2%	13
	00	<u> </u>	10	11	17	07	20	04	5	5	0	4	0	50		5		50	000	-	11	01	10	- 10					04		4		2	20	50			55	010		20/	14
	36	6	4	11	-17	27	30	34	5	5	6	4	3	50	0	5	53	53	311	_	11	34	11	3	6	- 1	16 27	8 29	34	3	4	6	3	2	53	0	60	55	31.		2%	14
12	-17	26	-4	-55	34	7	5	26	-10	0	6	-1	-2	81	6	2	24	0	128		12	-20	23	-3	-52	2 1	3 3	3 7	16	-6	1	-2	1	0	110	8	19	3	119		1%	16
13	2	2	10	-42	15	23	9	44	-10	39	3	4	0	21	0	6	32	44	226		13	1	2	9	-40	) 8	8 1 <sup>.</sup>	1 7	21	-8	33	2	6	0	17	0	47	60	176	5	1%	15
14	72	23	16	40	-17	30	90	20	19	54	143	7	37	179	0	1	16 2	218	1046		14	70	38	33	28	-1	15 30	0 88	20	20	51	153	14	45	185	0	120	0 247	/ 112	3	7%	7
15	070	140	100	010	104	17	50	CE	100		0	107	4	0				100	010	-	15	075	141	100				0 00	50	101	0	0	150	0	0	Ň	10		04		20/	10
15	270	140	163	210	-104	-17	59	-00	102	-	U	127	4	0	0	-4	20 1	102	919	_	15	275	141	120	5 200	U - I	-00	6 67	-30	101	U	U	100	-2	0	U	-16	0 124	94:	)	5%	10
16	33	190	31	96	-126	67	149	55	36	91	73	14	49	81	5		7	25	875		16	53	214	51	106	6 -1:	21 6	7 159	77	29	86	77	6	16	111	5	7	22	966		5%	9
17	61	59	6	120	43	31	87	53	5	-3	38	-1	-24	164	3	1	4	4	659		17	70	67	6	135	5 5	4 3	1 100	57	9	2	41	2	13	194	-7	12	3	789	)	5%	11
Total	2444	1604	668	###	152	615	1996	915	265	440	501	250	230	147	5 5	7 10	03 1	169	15425		Total	2551	1712	665	5 163	1 28	85 63	30 2101	930	238	440	528	254	190	1699	17	108	2 134	9 1630	4 1	10%	
10101		1001	000		102	010	1000	010	200	110	001	200	200			, 10		100	10120	_	Deveentere	1.00/	100/	40/	1.00		0/ 40	2/ 100/	C0/	10/	20/	000	00/	10/	1.00/	0.0/	70/		100		5070	
				_									_		_					-	Percentage	16%	10%	4%	5 10%	% 2°	% 4%	% 13%	6%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	10%	0%	1%	o 8%	100	/o		
Fuel VOC Benefits, £m	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	1	5 1	6	17	Total		Rank	1	3	9	5	1	3 10	0 2	8	15	12	11	14	16	4	17	7	6				
1	10	16	1	7	8	2	9	3	0	3	1	0	1	9	0	1	0	0	81																							
2	20	2	0	Q	15	4	5	11	1	-2	1	1	0	15	1	1	11	0	08	-	Origin	DVR	%							Sect	ore											
2	20	~	-	3	15		5		-	-2			0	10		_		0	30	-	Origin		/0							0001	<u> </u>											
3	4	4	1	3	8	1	3	6	3	1	1	0	0	12	6	1	2	0	66		4	2197	13%			N	0. LO	cation														
4	16	10	1	21	7	1	32	4	-7	-2	3	-1	-3	11	1	1	2	30	136		7	1842	11%			1	1 Br	amhall /	Chead	dle / He	ald Gre	en										
5	28	16	Q	8	-7	3	<u>8</u>	6	3	2	1	5	1	3	0		15	10	80		5	1650	10%				o W	ilmslow	/ Stva	l / Hand	lforth											
	20	10			-7	0		0	0	~	-	5	-			-		10	00	-	5	1050	10 /0			4			) Olya													
6	3	2	3	1	-2	3	4	2	2	4	2	1	3	-4	0		1	49	73		2	1588	10%			3	3 PC	synton /	vv ood	iora / P	restour		ington									
7	11	6	-3	19	7	4	16	8	-8	0	2	-2	-9	10	0	1	2	4	78		1	1502	9%			4	4 Ha	azel Gro	ve / Of	ferton /	Marple	/ Gee	Cross									
8	8	10	11	4	-1	4	12	1	5	7	3	5	Q	3	1		3	6	88		8	1225	8%				5 Ma	anchest	or Airn	ort												
	0	10		-		-	12	'	5	/	0	5		0		•	-	0	00	-	0	1225	0 /0							. / 14/14												
9	-3	8	0	-23	3	0	-19	3	0	0	2	0	0	38	2	-	-3	2	10		14	1126	7%			6	6 Di	asbury /	Gatle	y / vv iti	nington											
10	1	0	1	-3	2	3	3	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	0		8	-5	22		3	1007	6%				7 St	ockport														
11	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0		5	6	20	-	16	066	6%				ο ΔΗ	trinchan	n / Sala	a / Stro	tford											
11	-	U	0	U	U		2	2	U	0	U	U	U	3	0		5	0	20	-	10	900	0 %			· · · ·		unionan	17 Jak													
12	-1	4	-1	-4	7	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	23	2	-	-2	0	30		15	945	6%				9 Di	sley / H	igh Lar	ne / Wr	aley Br	idge										
13	0	0	0	-6	2	0	-3	5	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	-	-6	6	3		17	789	5%			1	0 Ma	acclesfie	eld													
1/	2	0	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	2	0	1	5	5	0		7	20	55	-	6	520	20/			1	1 Kr	autoford	/ Cholf	ford / N	orthwiel	<b>`</b>										
14	2	U	U	-1	U	•	3	•	U	3	9	•	5	5	- 0		<u> </u>	20	55	-	0	529	3%					IUISIOIU														
15	15	11	16	16	-8	-1	4	-3	14	0	0	37	0	0	0	-	-2	9	109		10	328	2%			1	2 Ea	ast of Co	ordon /	Peak L	District											
16	2	16	4	6	-2	4	14	9	1	4	4	-1	-3	11	0		0	1	72		11	313	2%			1	3 Sc	outh Eas	t of Co	ordon												
17	5	5	0	4.4	0	4	7	4	4	0	0	0	4	10			~	0	40	-	10	170	10/				4 50	outh Wo	et of C	ordon												
17	5	5	U	11	2		/	4	-1	0	2	U	- 1	13	0		0	0	49	_	13	176	1%				4 30		SUDIO	oruon												
Total	124	110	43	68	40	31	99	74	17	21	33	47	4	156	13	3 5	54 1	136	1070		12	119	1%			1	5 W	est of C	ordon													
																				-	9	2	0%			1	6 No	orthwest	of Cor	don												
Nen Evel V/OC Penefite Cm	4	0	2	4	E	6	7	•	•	10	44	10	10	4.4	1 1/	F 4		17	Tatal		, v	-	0 / 0				7 No	orthoact	of Cor	don												
Non-ruel VOC Benefits, EM		2	3	4	5	0	/	Ø	9	10		12	13	14		5 1	0	17	Total	-				_		1		Jineast		uun												
1	15	-5	-3	-12	-11	3	10	-9	-2	-2	-1	-4	0	-2	-6	<u>    1</u>	4	10	-6		Destination	PVB	%																			
2	-8	11	-6	-13	2	0	-1	-6	-6	7	6	-6	0	20	-7	7	9	2	6		1	2551	16%																			
3	-2	-6	-1	2	.8	-2	-1	-16	5	0	-1	1	-3	-16		2 1	2	3	-35	1	7	2101	13%																			
	6	10	-	10	4.4	2	10	15	0	-	5		10	- 10			0	0	17	-	,	1710	10%																			
4	-0	-12	э	10	-11	-3	13	-15	ø		-5	ð	IU	-29	-6		<u>•</u>	0	-17	-	2	1/12	10%																			
5	-9	1	-8	-16	3	4	-2	19	-6	1	3	-23	-11	23	-7		-1	-3	-32		14	1699	10%																			
6	1	-2	-2	-5	2	2	-1	3	-7	1	0	-6	-11	13	-8	3	1	-2	-20		4	1631	10%																			
7	12	-2	-5	2	-2	-2	7	-3	-6	-4	-2	4	-2	16		-1	10	5	1	-	17	1349	8%																			
1	12	-2	-0	2	-2	-2	· ·	-0	-0	-+-	-2	<b>-</b>	-2	10		-	-	5	74	-	17	1040	70/0																			
8	-8	-7	-12	-19	20	3	2	11	-16	-7	0	-16	-32	0	8		/	-3	-/1	4	16	1082	7%																			
9	-3	-1	5	-6	-5	-5	-22	-14	1	0	0	3	3	9	-2	2 -	-2	3	-35		8	930	6%																			
10	-4	7	-1	0	2	-3	-1	-9	-1	-1	-1	1	-5	-4	0	- 1	-5	-4	-29	7	3	665	4%																			
11	0		4	6	4	4		5	· 0	4			4	4	+		-		10	-	e	620	10/																			
11	-3	4	-1	-0		-1	-3	-3	-2	-1	0	-2	- 1		<b>–</b>		<u> </u>	-4	-10	4	0	030	4%																			
12	-3	-7	1	7	-28	-4	3	-13	3	0	-7	1	2	6	-1		-2	3	-39		11	528	3%																			
13	-1	0	-1	8	-9	-12	0	-28	1	-7	-1	2	0	-6	0	-	-9	10	-53		10	440	3%																			
14	_1	15	16	.11	2	0	_1	_1	1	-6	1	7	1	1			.2	8	25	1	5	285	2%																			
14	-4	10	10	-11	-	10		-1	45	-0		· ^	-				-	14	20	-	5	205	2 /0																			
15	-17	-10	-51	-27	5	10	4	13	-15	-1	U	-6	-/	0	0		5	14	-82	4	12	254	2%																			
16	18	8	17	3	7	-5	-4	13	-8	-9	0	-7	-30	19	0		1	-4	18		9	238	1%																			
17	4	3	0	4	10	-1	5	-1	6	5	2	3	38	17	-1	1 -	-3	0	81	7	13	190	1%																			
Total	-17	-2	-46	-78	-21	-15	6	-59	-43	-21	-6	-42	-45	68	-5	3 2	25	43	-305	1	15	17	0%																			
iotai	- 17	4	40	70	41	10	v	55	70	<u> </u>	U	-72	-+J	00	-5	~		Ŧ <b>U</b>	000	_	10	17	0 /0																			

# Preferred Option Scheme 'Optimistic' Scenario – 2017 Sectored Benefits – All Time Periods

Time Benefite Sm	1 2		2 1	1	5 6	s   ·	7	8	٥	10	11	12	13	1	1	15	16	17	Total		DVR	£m	1	2	3	1	5	5	6	7	8	0	10	11	12	12	1/	15	:	16	17	Total	Percentage	Bank
	102 10		1 00	0 0		<b>)</b> 0 1	7 64 ·	0 142	9	10	14	12	15	10	•	15	10	17	1550	_	<b>PVD</b> ,	, 2111	225	100	40	4		22	0	1	126	9	24	15	01	13	14		,	60	62	1600	1 1 9/	
I	192 10		1 23	9 22		0 1	04	143	140	21	14	00	15	10	0	2	29	40	1003	_	1		220	120	40	23	23	10	70 .	91	130	140	24	10	01	- 22	140	0	_	02	05	17092	11%	3
2	269 -9	0 /	0 27	5 2	4 /	6 1	05 2	248	148	-40	-20	46	6	13	3	1	165	88	1694		2	<u> </u>	266	-84	67	26	5 24	19	79		242	148	-34	-16	35		148	0		84	95	1763	11%	2
3	14 10	3 2	/ -10	6 14	41 2	0	0	67	44	26	24	/	11	14	0	11	36	-15	640		3	5	20	101	31	-18	8 15	56	23	0	58	58	26	20	8	12	137	22	2	54	-19	691	4%	10
4	245 16	67 6	1 35	1 16	53 4	1 5	54	71	-110	-6	27	-26	-18	23	5	2	112	140	2008		4	•	262	164	79	39	0 17	/3	37 6	510	58	-107	10	26	-17	-14	214	0	1	32	202	2219	14%	1
5	383 22	23 12	23 21	1 (	) -2	22 4	42	-40	80	13	2	86	63	-12	26	-2	-94	55	998		5	5	397	246	137	20	0 8	)	-23	46	-33	84	20	2	66	65	-105	-4	-	105	97	1099	7%	7
6	41 2	73	3 74	4 -3	3 1	3 5	59	2	47	18	4	30	26	-3	0	-4	35	39	380		6	6	45	28	36	65	5 -3	32	15	62	2	43	20	4	29	26	-16	-8	3	35	75	429	3%	14
7	192 4	23	0 46	4 4	4 3	8 2	25	48	72	41	17	15	12	10	7	0	92	118	1557		7	7	224	50	38	49	6 5	3	39 2	243	48	54	44	17	17	7	126	-3	3	89	129	1672	10%	4
8	143 16	64 8	7 12	2 -1	06 <mark>2</mark>	6 8	36	60	51	15	14	28	43	3		-38	9	68	775		8	3	137	159	81	10	-9	92	34 *	10	80	46	17	18	31	50	45	-58	В	9	70	845	5%	8
9	16 13	30 1	7 -15	5 <mark>0</mark>	5 2	8 1	17	59	-18	4	31	-11	-9	24	1	5	116	12	543		9	)	8	137	30	-17	76 60	0	22 ·	31	52	-18	6	31	-8	-6	329	8	1	19	27	588	4%	11
10	16 -3	1 4	1 -1:	3 1	9 1	8 2	26	24	9	12	5	11	35	2	8	0	56	16	272		10	0	15	-19	43	-13	2 24	4	18	27	24	11	13	4	11	32	24	0		46	1	261	2%	16
11	17 -	1 7	7 17	7 -	6 3	3 1	12	-6	32	6	5	6	2	3	1	0	10	25	159		11	1	14	-11	5	11	1 -2	2	4	11	-5	29	5	5	-1	2	33	0		16	31	147	1%	17
12	13 5	8 7	-3	7 9	2 1	3 1	13	29	-9	7	5	0	0	22	7	2	69	3	493		12	2	8	33	7	-32	2 6	6	9	15	30	-7	10	0	0	1	282	3		83	5	510	3%	13
13	3 3	3 1	<mark>6</mark> -3	5 3	4 1	4 1	18	30	-3	40	3	1	0	8	C	0	135	8	348		1:	3	2	4	13	-2	1 3	2	12	15	31	2	38	-2	3	0	76	0	1	51	12	370	2%	15
14	33 8	3 1	3 56	6 -2	1 6	6 4	42	-46	37	32	47	13	16	12	3	0	26	94	479		14	4	29	48	22	29	9 -1	15	6	36	-47	29	31	50	12	54	128	0		31	99	542	3%	12
15	219 16	69 13	36 23	8 -1	78 -3	34 2	24	-43	182	1	0	334	117	0		0	-23	39	1182		1:	5	213	134	115	5 22	.9 -17	79	-25	30	-35	186	-2	0	442	76	0	0		-14	49	1220	8%	5
16	19 10	)5 5	4 14	2 -9	9 7	1 1	38	64	135	49	21	87	193	3	3	-3	-11	22	1017		16	6	37	146	88	15	<del>6</del> -8	35	75 *	41	74	115	47	24	99	221	I 46	-4	- I	-13	9	1171	7%	6
17	86 1	9 9	) 12	2 5	52	6 5	57	44	12	7	21	0	2	13	3	1	12	0	605		17	7	98	39	12	13	<sup>39</sup> 73	3	21	62	45	38	14	21	1	26	152	-1		10	1	750	5%	9
Total	1901 12	03 76	60 ##	# 60	)2 35	54 15	583	754	790	245	219	710	514	14	98	-23	774	759	14704	1	Tot	tal	2002	1304	844	206	68 71	13	369 1	679	759	798	290	220	809	582	2 1744	-4;	3 8	388	946	15971	100%	
																					Perce	ntage	13%	8%	5%	139	% 49	%	2% 1	1%	5%	5%	2%	1%	5%	4%	11%	0%	6 (	5%	6%	100%		
Fuel VOC Benefits, £m	1 2	2 3	3 4	5	5 6	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	1	4	15	16	17	Tota		Rai	nk	2	5	8	1	1	2	14	4	11	10	15	16	9	13	3	17	7	7	6			
1	21 1	3 3	3 13	3 1	7 1	1 1	18	6	8	2	1	2	2	9		0	10	0	128							_							-					_						
2	10 -1	0 3	3 14	4 3	1 4	4	8	14	18	-5	-1	3	0	1	3	0	11	0	117		Orio	ain	PVB	%	٦						S	ectors	;											
3	1 4		3 -5	i 1	9 2	> -	-4	5	8	2	0	1	1	2	1	7	12	0	79		4	ĩ	2219	14%			N	0. L	Location		_		-											
4	21 1	5 7	7 31	1 1	9 1	1 4	16	5	-9	-1	4	-1	-2	2	1	0	9	54	220		2	>	1763	11%			1	1 F	Bramhal	l / Che	adle /	Heald	Green											
5	20 2	<u> </u>	7 16			2	2	2	10	2		11	5			0	1	42	152	_	1	-	1602	110/	<b>`</b>		2	· -	Wilmelo		val / H	andfort	th											
<u>5</u>	20 2	+ 1	/ 10			0 ·	3	-0	10	3	-1		5		,	0	4	40	132	_	7	7	1092	100/	<b>`</b>		2	- v - E	Povnton		dford		thuru /	Pollin	aton									
8	2 2				2		4	-1	5	1	0		2		_	-2	1	43	64	_	/	_	1072	10%	<b>`</b>		3	з г 4 і		/ /////					gion									
1	21 6		) 34	4 8		2 1	16	4	-10	0	1	-1	-3		_	0	8	10	101		1:	5	1220	8%			4	4 F	Hazel Gi	ove /	Offerto	n / Ivia	irpie / 0	Jee C	ross									
8	6 1	26	5 5		4 3	3 1	12	6	5	2	2	5	7	3		-3	1	7	75		16	6	1171	7%			5	5 1	vianches	ster A	rport													
9	-2 2	16	<b>5</b> -2	6 9	) 1	1 -2	28	4	-1	1	5	0	0	7	6	2	10	1	79		5	5	1099	7%			6	5 L	Didsbury	/ Gat	ley / W	/ithing	ton											
10	0 0	) 4	-7	1 3	3 1	1 -	-1	2	1	0	0	1	2	2		0	1	-14	-4		8	3	845	5%			7	7 8	Stockpo	rt														
11	0 -7	7 (	) 1	1	I 1	1	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	1		0	3	2	7		17	7	750	5%			8	3 A	Altrincha	ım / S	ale / S	tretfor	d											
12	-1 7	' (	) -4	1	9 (	) -	-1	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	1	9	0	90		3	3	691	4%			9	9 E	Disley /	High L	ane / \	Nhale	y Bridg	ge										
13	-1 (	) 1	-6	3 3	3 1	1 -	-5	5	0	2	0	0	0	3		0	12	-1	15		9	9	588	4%			10	0 1	Maccles	field														
14	-1 -(	3 (	) -3	3 -	1 (	0	2	-5	1	2	2	0	2	-		0	3	9	6		14	4	542	3%			1	1 K	Knutsfor	d / Ch	elford /	North	wich											
15	13 2	2 2	3 24	4 -1	3 1	1 1	0	-2	25	0	0	75	1	0		0	-1	-1	167		12	2	510	3%			1:	2 E	East of (	Cordor	n / Pea	k Disti	rict											
16	3 2	3 1	4 7			7 1	11	9	12	2	1	11	24			0	-1	-1	130		6	-	429	3%			1	3 5	South Fa	ast of	Cordor	1												
17	9 2		1 13				5	5	0	_2	1	0	1			0	0	0	100		11	3	370	2%					South W	last of	Cordo	n												
Total	120 12	- 0	2 10	7 11		5 0	20	50	77	10	10	100	40		4	6	0	154	1470		10	0	061	2 /0				- V	Most of	Cordo	n													
Total	130 13	92 9	5 10	/	2 2	5 5	90	00	11	10	10	109	42	20		0	09	154	1472		10	4	201	2%				5 V			'ordon													
								•				1 10		<b>—</b>					1			I	147	1%				6 F	Northwe															
Non-Fuel VOC Benefits, Em	1 2		5 4			2	/	8	9	10		12	13	1	+	15	16	17	Tota		-		B1/5		-		1	7 F	vorineas	or of C	ordon													
1	12 7	-   6	<b>i</b> -14	4 -1	1	5	8	-13	-3	2		-5	5	-2	1	-2	23	14	11	-	Destin	nation	PVB	%																				
2	-12 1	/ -	6 -2	5 4		J -	-2	-21	-19	12	5	-15	2	-		-1	8	7	-48	4	4		2068	13%	,																			
3	4 -(		2 4		b 1	<u> </u>	4	-14	6	-2	-4	0	1	-2	5	4	6	-3	-28	_	1		2002	13%	,																			
4	-4 -1	8 1	1 8		9 -	5	9	-17	13	16	-5	11	7	-4	2	-2	11	8	-9	4	14	4	1744	11%	,																			
5	-14 -	1 -	4 -1	9 (		2	1	10	-6	4	1	-31	-3	2	4	-1	-11	-2	-51	_	7		1679	11%	,																			
6	2 (		-10	0 3	3 1	1 -	-1	0	-8	1	0	-3	-1	1	2	-2	-1	-8	-14	_	2	2	1304	8%																				
7	11 2	2 8	3 -2	2 3	3 -	2	2	-4	-8	3	-1	3	-2	1	3	-3	-11	1	14	_	17	/	946	6%																				
8	-12 -1	7 -1	1 -2	0 1	7 5	5 1	12	13	-11	0	2	-2	0	3	3	-17	-1	-4	-6	_	16	6	888	6%																				
9	-6 -1	4 6	5 1	-	5 -	7 -2	20	-10	1	1	-5	3	3	1	2	1	-7	13	-34	_	3	3	844	5%																				
10	-1 1	2 -	28	2	2 -	1	1	-3	1	1	-2	0	-5	-(	6	0	-12	0	-7	_	12	2	809	5%																				
11	-3 -2	2 -	2 -7	' 3	3 (	) -	-1	1	-7	-1	0	-7	0	0		0	2	4	-19		9	9	798	5%																				
12	-4 -3	2 -	1 10	) -4	- 6	4	3	-4	2	2	-5	0	0	1		0	5	2	-72		8	3	759	5%																				
13	-1 1	-4	4 20	) -	5 -	2	2	-3	5	-4	-5	1	0	-	7	0	4	5	7		5	5	713	4%																				
14	-3 4	4 9	-2	5 7	7 (	) -	-9	4	-9	-3	1	-1	36	6		0	3	-4	56		13	3	582	4%																				
15	-18 -5	7 -4	4 -34	4 1	1 7	7	5	10	-20	-2	1	33	-41	0		0	10	11	-129		6	6	369	2%																				
16	16 1	8 2	0 0	1	4 -:	3 -	-8	1	-31	-3	1	1	3	7		-1	-1	-12	23		10	0	290	2%																				
17	4 1	7 2	2 4	1	3 -	5	0	-3	26	9	-1	1	23	1	5	-2	-2	1	101		1.	1	220	1%																				
Total	-28 -2	9 -	6 -9	6	3 -4	4 1	13	-44	-60	45	-4	1	39	3	9	-12	42	49	-53		15	5	-43	0%																				

# Preferred Option Scheme 'Optimistic' Scenario – 2032 Sectored Benefits – All Time Periods

	4	•			<b>—</b>	-   0			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	40	44	10	40	1 4 4		4 -	40	47	1 7.1.		DVD Out			•	•	1.4		- 1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	40		140	1 40	1 4 4	1 45			47	<b>T</b>	B	- Built
Time Benefits, £m	1	2	3	4		5 6	7	r	8	9	10	11	12	13	14		15	16	17	lota	1	PVB, £m	1		2	3	4		5	6 7		8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	) 1	6	17	lotal	Percentage	Rank
1	412	182	84	42	4 28	<b>39</b> 45	5 23	33 1	66 7	72	64	19	80	26	164	4	4	79	82	242	5	1	461	1	195	101	435	5 2	99	52 26	4 1	73	74	58	19	76	25	163	1	1	03	101	2601	12%	2
2	484	-37	11	9 33	8 20	08 90	) 14	0 1	84 1	02	-51	-16	39	13	92		2	189	127	202	3	2	479	-	-25	122	345	5 2	37	96 14	6 1	86	105	-40	-12	36	15	114	1	2	07	131	2145	10%	4
3	81	123	43	11	5 14	58 30		3 6	38 9	22	65	14	13	15	130		q	70	19	108	1	3	88	1	126	46	118	8 1	69	32 91	6	33	41	62	13	14	18	136	13	2 0	2	23	1150	5%	9
	202	011	10		5 0					00	41	00	00	00	07	<u></u>	5	150	000	004	-		410		004	170	504		10			70	05	40	00	17	10	040		1	70	200	0110	1 40/	
4	393	211	10	2 48	5 2	13 55	5 74	10 0	53 -	99	41	29	-28	-20	214	+	5	159	232	294	2	4	410	4	204	170	534	4 2	19	53 80	· /	12	-95	40	28	-17	-10	240	5		76	200	3113	14%	
5	639	232	16	0 26	7 (	38	3 97	7 -	-1 8	86	36	5	150	74	-14	4	-5	57	192	188	2	5	656	2	252	169	267	7	0	39 10	3	8	87	44	4	110	73	-122	2 -6	i 4	16	217	1945	9%	5
6	72	31	40	) 9	5 -	4 34	4 10	06 1	15 3	36	43	6	24	22	-13	3	-4	86	68	659	)	6	78		32	43	92	2 -	-2	37 11	1 1	19	35	48	6	22	22	-8	-8	8	39	115	730	3%	13
7	173	60	77	′ <u>6</u> 9	6 7	2 66	3 40	)2 7	71 7	70	141	19	3	1	151	1	1	167	167	233	3	7	204		65	86	739	9 7	79	68 43	5 7	75	60	132	19	5	-7	169	-2	1	72	185	2486	11%	3
Q	100	101	70	16	6 1	21 20	12	24	20	12	51	12	27	11	10	_	47	10	110	805		9	194	1	110	75	150		11/	24 14	1 /	27	40	52	12	20	19	24	47	7 .	11	124	022	19/	10
	100	121	10							10	51	10	21		10			74		000	<u> </u>	0	104		00	15	150					21	40	52	10	23	40	24	-4/			124	000	4 /6	12
9	-14	65	-1:	5 -20	9 4	8 14	-20	6	37 -	26	-2	20	-28	-24	181	<u>ا</u>	3	74	-7	89		9	-25		66	-11	-234	4 5	50	11 -6	1 3	32	-26	-2	20	-24	-21	236	4		3	1	89	0%	17
10	85	-78	18	0 6	1 2	9 64	15	51 6	53 3	35	27	19	72	116	111	1	0	226	131	129	1	10	78	-	-49	185	60	) 3	36	64 14	5 6	52	38	29	21	77	114	114	0	2	20	103	1297	6%	7
11	24	-21	10	) 2	7 -	5 3	17	7 1	17 2	23	16	4	7	3	40		0	25	44	233	3	11	24	-	-17	10	23	3 -	-2	3 1	' 1	17	22	17	3	6	3	42	0	3	31	48	248	1%	16
12	-6	36	0	-8	9 10	03 7	-10	0 1	18 -	20	11	6	-1	0	170	)	2	35	-8	255	;	12	-10		32	-1	-84	4 5	57	4 -3	1	18	-17	10	0	1	0	218	1	3	33	-4	257	1%	15
13	11	4	20	-4	1 3	5 13	2 15	5 3	36	-7	38	3	-1	0	55		0	140	22	3/1		13	10		6	22	-45	5 3	25	12 1		27	-1	35	2	1	0	11	0	1	10	35	350	2%	1/
10	50	+	20	,			7 10				110	10	-1	17	100	_	0	70	107	0.071	,	14	10		0	22	-43		10			7	01	110		01	50	44	0		43	010	001	2 /6	14
14	56	-19	10	0	-4	+/ 9	//	/ 4	24 3	35	119	40	10	17	104	-	0	70	167	807		14	51	_	-0	23	74	-2	43	10 7	) <u> </u>	27	31	110	44	21	52	191	U		9	213	901	4%	11
15	282	126	12	7 29	4 -1	19 -8	86	6 -	24 1	20	5	0	290	114	0		1	-7	159	144	ō	15	268	1	123	118	292	2 -1	14	2 98		12	118	2	0	366	67	0	1	-	-2	191	1517	7%	6
16	-4	100	53	3 19	3 -1	18 <mark>50</mark>	) 19	90 ·	-2 9	99	138	24	67	173	77		-4	10	34	108	0	16	14	1	122	76	201	1 -1	10	48 20	5	9	94	132	23	63	189	94	-3	1	0	35	1202	5%	8
17	60	26	7	18	6 8	0 26	5 12	26 4	47 1	10	45	26	-1	2	186	3	0	6	4	838	}	17	70		28	12	211	1 1	14	26 13	8 4	46	25	43	29	3	28	216	-3		5	4	995	5%	10
Total	2938	1160	116	A ##	# 82	22 56	5 256	68 7	71 6	12	788	231	734	576	166	3 -	.31 1	376	1571	2050	3	Total	304	2 1	275	1251	3180	0 9	11 5	90 27	2 8	06	630	784	231	789	617	1870	-45	5 14	172 1	787	21920	100%	
10101	2000	1100	III	1 11	# 02	-2 00	5 250	100	110	12	100	201	704	570	100	0		070	10/1	2000	0	Dereenter	- 149/		<u>C0/</u>	60/	150/		0/	00 27		10/	20/	104	10/	100	017	00/			'0/	00/	100%	10070	4
		-				_		_								_				_		Percentag	e 14%		0%	0%	15%	/0 4	70	3% 12	/0 4	-%	3%	4%	1%	4%	3%	9%	0%	o /	%	8%	100%		
Fuel VOC Benefits, £m	1	2	3	4		56	7	<b>_</b>	8	9	10	11	12	13	14		15	16	17	Tota	1	Rank	2		7	8	1		9	15 3	1	10	13	12	16	11	14	4	17	7	6	5			
1	28	13	9	2	0 1	7 3	17	7 1	11	5	3	1	1	3	8		0	10	0	148	3																								
2	14	-1	7	1	B 2	2 5	8	3	9	9	-2	0	3	1	12		0	11	0	116	;	Origin	PVE	3	%							ç	ector	S											
3	4	6	2	5	1	4 1	2	<b>,</b>	4	4	1	0	0	1	17		3	12	0	76		4	3111	3 1	14%			N	Jo I	ocation		-		-											
	-	0	-					-	- -	-	-	<u> </u>			4.7	_	0	14		100		-	0110		1 4 70				. L	wanahal		مطام													
4	20	8	11	3	U 1	5 1	40	0	4 ·	-6	-1	2	-1	-2	17		0	11	29	180	)	1	260	1 1	12%				1 6	framnai	/ Che	eadle	неа	a Gree	en										
5	31	16	12	2 1	5 -2	20 0	5	5	0	6	3	-1	11	4	-4		0	-13	12	76		7	2486	5 1	11%				2 V	Vilmslo	v / St	yal / I	landfo	orth											
6	3	1	2	3	(	) 2	5	5	2	3	4	0	1	2	-3		-1	1	49	74		2	214	5 1	10%				3 F	ovnton	/ Woo	odforc	/ Pre	stbury	/ Boll	ington									
7	15	Б	1	2	6 1	5 2	20	2	5	5	0	1	- 1	5	0		0	11	11	115		5	10/1	5 0	0.0/				⊿ ⊢	, Iazol G		Offort	on / M	Iarnlo	Geo	Cross									
1	10	5				5 5	~ ~ ~	_	5	-0	0	-	-1	-0	0	_	0			110	, 	5	154		3 /0								511 / 10	lapic	acc	01033									
8	- 1	1	4	4	-	4 2	13	3 -	-2	3	3	1	4	6	2		-2	-2	8	54		15	151.	/ /	7%				5 N	lanche	ster A	irport													
9	-4	7	1	-2	3 6	6 0	-1	7	2 ·	-1	0	2	-1	0	51		1	4	0	28		10	1293	76	6%				6 E	idsbury	/ Gat	tley /	Withir	ngton											
10	4	5	9	-2		2 3	5	;	3	2	1	1	2	5	4		0	8	-11	41		16	120		5%				7 S	tockpo	t														
11	4	0	1	4		1 0			1	2	4			0			0	2	4	10	_	2	1150		E 9/				ο Λ	Itrinch	m / S	alo /	Strotfe	ord											
11		U				0	_			2		U	0	U	2	_	U	3	4	19		3	1150	5	5%				0 7			aie /													
12	-1	3	-1	-6	5 1	<b>5</b> 0	-2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	44		0	2	0	56		17	995	5	5%				9 L	lisley /	High L	_ane /	Wha	ley Bri	dge										
13	0	1	1	-5	5 2	2 0	-2	2	4	0	2	0	0	0	4		0	10	4	23		14	961	4	4%			1	10 N	<b>laccles</b>	field														
14	0	-1	0	1	1	2 0	3	2	2	1	4	2	1	3	4		0	5	20	44		8	833	4	4%			1	11 K	nutsfor	d / Ch	elford	/ Nor	thwich											
45	10	10			_			_	-			_		0	-	_	0	, i		107		0	700		00/						ordor			atriat											
15	12	12	10	5 2	0 -	8 2	6	, ,	- 1	16	0	U	67	3	0		0	-	14	167		6	/30	c c	3%			· · ·	12 5	astory		Г/Ге	ar Di	strict											
16	0	12	9	9		2 3	16	6	3	8	3	1	6	21	5		0	0	1	94		13	350	2	2%			1	13 S	outh E	ast of	Cordo	n												
17	6	1	2	1	6 4	4 1	10	0	3	1	-3	1	0	1	15		0	0	0	58		12	257	1	1%			1	14 S	outh W	est of	f Cord	on												
Total	141	97	91	14	7 6	5 28	13	A F	52 4	47	19	12	95	40	186		2	73	143	137	1	11	248		1%			1	15 V	Vest of	Cordo	n													
10101	141	57		14		5 20		, -	-		15	12		40	100		2	70	140	107			240		1 /0							) i i De vel e v													
			_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_				_	_				_		9	89	(	0%			1	16 N	lortnwe															
Non-Fuel VOC Benefits, £m	1	2	3	4		5 6	7	/	8	9	10	11	12	13	14		15	16	17	Tota	l I							1	17 N	lortheas	t of C	ordor													
1	21	0	7	-9	) -	7 4	14	4	-4 ·	-3	-9	-1	-5	-3	-8		-3	15	19	28		Destinatio	n PVE	3	%																				
2	-18	14	-3	-1	1	7 1	-1	1 .	-7 -	-6	12	4	-6	2	9		-1	7	4	6		4	3180	) 1	15%																				
3	3	-2	1			3 0	2	<u>,                                     </u>	.g	4	-5	-2	0	2	-11		0	10	3	-7		1	304	2 1	14%																				
	5	10							16	<u>,</u>	5	4	11	10	- 1		0	6	F	-7	_	7	0704		100/																				
4	-3	-16	4	- 10		ອ -4	15			<u> </u>	5	-4	11	12	-43		-2	0	0	-8	_		272	_ 1	12%																				
5	-13	4	-4	- 1	5 -	б 1	1		9.	-5	4	U	-51	-4	27		-2	2	13	-40		14	1879	9 9	9%																				
6	3	-1	1	-6	6 (	3 1	-1	1	2 ·	-4	1	0	-3	-1	8		-3	1	-2	-2		17	178	7 8	8%																				
7	17	0	5	7		3 0	10	0	0 .	-5	-9	-1	3	-3	10		-3	-7	7	32		16	1472	2 7	7%																				
8	-11	-9	-8	-2	0 1	1 2	4		5	-6	-2	-1	-3	-2	12		2	2	-2	-26		2	127	5 6	6%																				
		6				2 4		-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	1	-			-	-	0	07		2	105		69/																				
3	-0	-0	3		-	-4	10	<u>0</u> .	-0	<u>.</u>	U	-2	4	3	5	+	v	-0	0	-21	_	3	125		0 %																				
10	-11	- 24	-4	2	4	4 -2	: -1:	2 -	-4	1	0	1	4	-6	-1		U	-13	-17	-35		5	911	4	4%																				
11	-1	4	-1	-4	1_2	20	-1	<u>1</u>	-1 -	-2	0	0	-1	0	0		0	3	0	-4		8	806	4	4%																				
12	-3	-7	0	1	1 -6	60 -2	8	3 .	-3	3	-1	-7	2	0	5		-2	-4	4	-55		12	789	4	4%																				
13	-2	1	0	4		2 -2	2	2 .	-3	3	-4	-1	2	0	-15	5	0	-1	8	-14		10	784		4%																				
14	7	14		+					-	5	1	1	-	20		-	0	5	7	1 40	-	0	600		2%																				
14	-1	14	0				-4	+	<u>'</u> ''	-0	-4	1	2	32	0	-	U D	5	/	49	_	9	030		0 %																				
15	-26	-14	-2	3 -2	8 1	3 8	5	<b>)</b> 1	13 -	18	-3	U	10	-49	0		U	5	17	-95		13	617	3	3%																				
16	18	10	15	<u>0</u>	1	0 -5	i -1	1	7 -	13	-10	-2	-11	-5	13		1	-1	1	28		6	590	3	3%																				
17	4	1	3	9	3	0 -1	2	2	-3 1	14	0	1	3	25	14		-3	-1	0	98		11	231	1	1%																				
Total	-37	18	-3	-5	3 -	2 -2	20	0 -	18 -:	30	-24	-13	-40	1	30	- 1	16	23	74	-71		15	-45	(	0%																				
		-										-																																	

# Preferred Option Scheme 'Pessimistic' Scenario – 2017 Sectored Benefits – All Time Periods

Time Benefite Sm	1	2	1 2	1		5	6	7		8	0	10	1	1	12	13		1/		15	16	s	17	Total	1		DVB Sm	1		2	3	1	5		6	7	8	0	1(	1	1	12	12	1/	15	1	16	17	Total	Percentar		Bank
inne benents, zm	26	200	6	10	1 1	90 90	6	11/	1 1	21	01	2	1	1	77	12		110		2		, ,	56	1112		_	1	54	1	2	5	190	102	2	0	147	127	00		1	2	71	12	110	2	-	22	72	100	1/10/		2
2	41	00	0	14	0 1	20	25	20	+ 1	02	114	40		12	25	10		66	_	2	0	,	27	775	_	_	2	24	-	04	3	149	17/	4 4	26	20	102	120	-	, ,	3	22	11	97	1	1	10	13	011	00/	—	-2
2	41	-01	• •	14		39	30	30		03	114	-40		2	20	-0	_	140	_	2	90		37	775	_		2	24	_	91	-1	140	1/4	+ •	30	32	193	134	-0		2	22	-11	0/		-	10	43	011	9%		5
3	2	85	20	-4		30	19	-4			105	13	2	8	3	-9	_	142	_	12	3		-19	537	_	_	3	0	-	93	20	-01	140	0	21	-11	72	00	0	4	8	0	0-	158	24	4	+9	-24	080	7%	—	0
4	238	124	38	3 29	4 1	33	31	446	5 6	50	-135	-8	2	5	-30	-19	,	201	_	3	93	3 1	133	1626			4	255	1	42	47	311	143	3 1	23	480	56	-14	9 -1	> 2	9	-37	-21	192	1		94	182	1/33	20%	—	1
5	302	167	10	0 16	1	0	-32	34		88	60	/	_	1	61	51		-137	_	-3	-18	30	28	532	_		5	313	1	93	11/	161	0	-	43	34	-108	68	8		2	48	54	-1/3	-6	-2	219	4/	493	6%	$\rightarrow$	8
6	16	30	32	2 5	2 -	49	-19	14	-1	27	39	8	-	1	27	21		-87	_	-/	-9	6	13	-35	_		6	19		30	36	39	-55	<b>)</b> -	26	15	-32	41	4	-	2	26	23	-101	-13	-1	124	49	-72	-1%	$\rightarrow$	16
7	170	48	17	<sup>7</sup> 33	8 3	32	-3	162	2 3	38	52	14	1	2	-2	6		56		0	7	1	118	1065			7	212		59	17	350	36	5 -	10	187	43	24	1(	) 1	4	-7	1	70	-3		34	129	1101	13%		3
8	122	149	91	11	5 -1	100	-17	54	-1	20	48	10	1	1	24	38		-41		54	-14	16	29	320			8	124	1	64	101	105	-11	5 -	26	75	-228	52	8	1	1	31	50	-9	43	-1	165	10	230	3%		12
9	12	103	17	-16	<u> 6</u>	43	24	26	5	52	-20	1	3	1	-11	-9		223		5	11	6	10	455			9	6	1	32	30	-210	51		24	-38	55	-22	-4	3	9	-16	-9	337	8	1	23	23	529	6%		7
10	-2	-29	6	-2	1 1	16	8	5	1	16	2	-5	-	2	1	-89	)	10		0	22	2	-7	-69			10	-12	-	43	-10	-44	14		2	-5	5	-6	-2	) -1	2	-13	-167	-26	0		2	-23	-358	-4%		17
11	8	10	4	1	7	-6	-1	7		13	34	3	4	4	5	0		29		0	-7	7	15	110			11	6		-8	4	14	-6		-1	9	-17	39	-4		5	-1	0	34	0		-8	23	90	1%		14
12	12	45	7	-3	5 7	77	10	11	2	28	-8	3		5	0	-1		227		3	68	3	3	455			12	6	- 3	30	2	-43	55	<b>j</b>	8	9	32	-13	-5		0	0	-2	277	3	8	30	5	445	5%		9
13	1	0	-2	-4	2 2	26	11	11	2	25	-4	-29	- (	1	0	0		68		0	11	9	4	186			13	0		-1	0	-41	25	5	12	13	29	-6	-8	7 -	1	1	0	64	0	1	33	5	145	2%		13
14	9	4	9	4	2 -	27	-10	15		72	32	18	3	3	10	11		19		0	-6	0	32	62			14	6		2	22	21	-33	3 -	14	10	-84	35	8	3	4	5	50	8	0	-	76	28	21	0%		15
15	204	155	14	3 20	8 -1	136	-40	21	-1	99	177	0	(	0	355	11	0	0		0	-5	5	25	967			15	212	1	69	140	219	-158	8 -	40	30	-198	202	2 -13	2 -	1	465	72	0	0	1	52	27	1077	12%		4
16	-8	120	56	5 11	2 -1	142	-55	78	1	97	142	22		2	94	19	1	-97		-6	-4	1	4	274			16	8	1	59	85	95	-150	0 -	91	70	-198	127	7 7	-	2	103	217	-113	-12	-	53	-20	232	3%		11
17	80	26	4	7	6 3	39	1	-21	3	30	-4	-1	1	8	0	-3		69		1	4		0	318			17	94	4	48	5	77	44		-5	-21	33	19	-7	2	1	1	14	70	0		0	-1	393	5%		10
Total	1243	1042	55	8 ##	# 3	56	-34	100	4 -{	83	661	9	10	64	638	30	3	869		67	-3	4 4	479	8689			Total	1327	7 11	183	626	1331	361	1 -	121 1	037	-222	696	5 -17	3 1	51	700	280	993	49	-1	116	576	8680	100%		
		•																								1	Percentage	15%	5 1·	4%	7%	15%	4%	6 - <sup>-</sup>	1% *	12%	-3%	8%	-29	62	%	8%	3%	11%	1%	, -1	1%	7%	100%			
Fuel VOC Benefits, £m	1	2	3	4		5	6	7		8	9	10	1	1	12	13		14		15	16	6	17	Tota			Rank	2		3	8	1	10	)	15	4	17	7	16	; 1	2	6	11	5	13	1	14	9		-		
1	6	11	-1	7	1	13	0	12		3	7	1		1	1	1		7		0	10	)	0	79			-																									
2	-9	-9	-4	6	2	23	1	1	1	15	14	-6	-	6	2	-1		10		0	11	1	0	49			Origin	PVE	3	%								Sect	ors													
3	-1	6	2	-1	1 1	16	2	-6	-	7	8	1		0	1	0		18		7	12	2	0	64			4	1733	3 2	0%			No.	. Lo	ocation																	
4	19	13	6	2	7 1	14	0	37		4	-10	-1		4	-2	-2		17		0	9		54	190			1	1225	5 1	4%			1	B	ramhal	/ Cl	neadle	/ Hea	ald Gre	en												
5	10	10	1	1		0	5	2		1	7	2		1	0		-	5		0	1		12	114	_		7	110		20/			2		limelo	w / S	tval /	Hand	forth													
	10	10				0	-5	2	-	4	-	0		0	1	4	-	-5	_	0		-	40	114	_		15	107		00/			2		overtoe		nyai /		oothur	/ Po	llingt	<u></u>										
0	1.17	2	3	-		-3	-2	0			4	0			-	2	_	-2	_	-3			43	44	_		15	107		2%			3		Jynton	/ •••			estour	у / БО / Оси	anngu	011										
7	17	6	-2	2	2	3	-3	13		-1	-14	1	_	1	-2	-3	_	2	_	0	-4	•	9	45	_		2	811	9	9%			4	Ha	azel G	rove	Offer	ton / I	viarpie	/ Gee	e Cro	SS										
8	5	16	8	4	· · ·	-4	-1	7	-	-4	6	2		2	6	9		1		5	-9	)	0	54			3	586	7	7%			5	M	anche	ster /	Airport															
9	-2	19	7	-2	6	7	1	-32		4	-1	0		5	0	0		73		2	10	)	0	70			9	529	6	5%			6	Di	dsbury	/ / G	atley /	With	ington													
10	-1	0	1	-6	5	1	1	0		1	0	0	(	0	0	-4		-1		0	1		-6	-13			5	493	6	5%			7	St	tockpo	rt																
11	-2	-10	0	0		0	0	1	-	-1	4	0		0	1	0		1		0	1		1	-3			12	445	5	5%			8	Al	trincha	am /	Sale /	Stret	ford													
12	-1	6	0	-4	L 1	17	0	-1		5	0	0	(	0	0	0		53		1	8		0	85			17	393	5	5%			9	Di	sley /	High	Lane	/ Wha	aley B	idge												
13	-1	0	0	-7	7	2	1	-4		4	0	-1	(	0	0	0		1		0	10	)	-2	4			16	232	3	3%			10	M	accles	field																
14	-3	-7	-1	-3	3 .	-1	-1	0		-5	1	1		2	0	1		0		0	-2	2	4	-13			8	230	3	3%			11	Kı	nutsfor	d / C	helfor	d / No	rthwic	h												
15	11	19	23	2	3 -	11	2	0		12	26	0		0	78	1		0		0	-4		-3	153			13	145		2%			12	Fa	ast of	Cord	n / Pe	ak D	istrict													
16	0	25	1/	2		3		5		.1	10	0		1	10	22		2		-2		2	-1	74	_		11	90		1%			13		outh F	asto	f Cord	on														
17	0	20	1	1		2	4			2	2	1		4	0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	•		-	-2	-	,	0	21	_		14	21		1 /0			14		outh M	loct	of Corr	lon														
17 T-4-1	0	3	- 1			3	-1	-1		3	-2	-1	-	-	0	0	_	-1	_	10	0		140	21	_		14	21		170			14	· \\/		Coro																
lotal	65	118	65	5		/8	-/	35		16	61	0	1	0	102	31		1//		10	52	2 1	143	1016			6	-72	-1	1%			15	5 VV	estor	Cord																
	_		_	_	_				_			-	_	_			_		_		_	_		_	_		10	-358	-4	4%			16	5 NO	orthwe	St of	Cordo	n														
Non-Fuel VOC Benefits, £m	1	2	3	4		5	6	7	1	8	9	10	1	1	12	13		14		15	16	5	17	Tota		_							17	' No	ortheas	st of	Cordo	1														
1	12	7	0	-1	<u>0</u>	-3	1	21		-8	0	-4		1	-6	0		-9	_	-2	16	j	17	33			Destination	PVE	3	%																						
2	-9	-2	-5		5 1	11	-1	1	-	-5	4	-13	3 -	4	-4	-2		11		-1	4	·	6	-12			4	133	1 1	5%																						
3	-1	2	1	-1	1	0	1	-1	-	·6	6	-9	-	1	-4	4		-2		4	6		-5	-15	_		1	1327	7 1	5%																						
4	-1	4	3	-1	0 ·	-3	-7	-3	-	-8	-4	-6	(	0	-5	0		-26		-2	-8	3	-6	-82			2	1183	3 1	4%																						
5	-7	8	4	-9	)	0	-6	-2		17	2	-3	-	2	-21	-1		-31		-2	-4	0 -	-25	-152			7	1037	7 1	2%																						
6	2	-2	0	-1	2 ·	-3	-4	1	-	-4	-2	-5	-	1	-1	0		-12		-3	-2	9	-8	-82			14	993	1	1%																						
7	25	4	3	-1	0	1	-4	11		6	-14	-4		1	-3	-1		12		-2	-3	7	2	-9			12	700	8	3%																						
8	-3	-1	1	-1	4 -	11	-7	15	-1	04	-2	-4	-	2	1	3		31		-16	-1	0	-19	-143			9	696	8	3%																						
9	-4	9	7	-1	5	0	-2	-33	-	-1	-1	-5		3	-5	-1		41		1	-3	3	12	4			3	626	7	7%																						
10	-9	-14	-1	7 -1	6	-4	-7	-9		11	-9	-15	5 -1	0	-15	-74	1	-35		0	-2	1	-10	-276			17	576	7	7%																						
11	-1	-8	0	-3	3	1	0	1	-	-3	0	-7		0	-7	0		4		0	-2	2	7	-17			5	361	4	4%																						
12	-5	-21	-4	-4	F	39	-2	-1	-	2	-5	-8	-	5	0	-1		-3		-1	3		2	-95			13	280	3	3%																						
13	-1	-1	1	8		-3	1	6	-	1	-3	-57	7 (	0	1	0		-5		0	5		4	-46			11	151	2	2%																						
14	0	5	14	-1	9.	-5	-3	-5	-	7	3	-11	-	1	-5	38		-11		0	-1	4	-7	-28			15	49	1	1%																						
15	-3	-4	-2	6 -1	2 -	11	-2	9	1	13	-1	-12	2 (	0	32	-38	3	0		0	7		5	-42			16	-116	5 -1	1%																						
16	16	14	16	5 -1	9.	-5	-32	-14		3	-26	-14	۰ I	4	-1	3		-18		-3	-9	) -	-23	-116			6	-121	-1	1%																						
17	7	20	2	-9	)	1	-5	1		1	25	-4		2	1	17		1		-1	-3	3	0	53			10	-173	-2	2%																						
Total	20	24	3	-16	6 -	68	-74	4	-1	47	-17	-17	2 -1	12	-28	-4(	)	-39	-	-14	-11	8	-29	-873			8	-222	2 -3	3%																						
				-	_				_				_						_				-																													

Plan Design Enable

# Preferred Option Scheme 'Pessimistic' Scenario – 2032 Sectored Benefits – All Time Periods

				_								_	_			_						_	_			_	_	_		_									-
Time Benefits, £m	1	2	3 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11   1	2 13	14	15	16	17	Total		PVB, £m	1	2	3	4		5	6 7		3   9	)   1	0   11	1 1	2	13	14	15	16	17	Total	Percentage	Rank
1	236	314	109 32	8 26	3 32	167	148	67	58	24 7	1 26	184	4	45	65	2141		1	266	332	124	1 320	9 2	69	36 18	8 1	4 6	9 6	2 25	5 6	7	30	183	3	67	78	2273	11%	2
	200	014		1 10		07	000	110	11		1 20	104			105	2141		0	105	002	140			.00							7	14	100	1	001	100	2270	11/0	
2	429	60	111 28	1 19	8 88	97	232	112	-11	-4 4	0 10	121	3	204	105	2076		2	425	81	116	5 278	8 2	26	93 10	2 2	37 1	15 -	2 3	- R	57	14	153	1	221	108	2209	11%	4
3	64	130	51 73	3 13	1 25	67	70	33	21	28 1	1 9	133	9	57	5	918		3	71	132	57	74	1	41	26 68	6 6	5 4	2 2	1 28	3 -	2	11	132	12	77	7	976	5%	11
Λ	385	230	106 37	6 17	a 12	508	74	-117	20	10 .3	24 _19	222	5	133	2 201	2553		1	401	228	220	117	7 1	84	11 64	6 6	3 _1	12 3	1 30		24	.11	213	3	151	222	2725	13%	1
	000	230	130 37	0 17	3 42	530	74	-117	23	+0 -0	-10	200	. <u> </u>	100	201	2000			401	220	220	, 41,		04			J - 1					-11	210	5	101	200	2725	10 /6	1
5	682	277	153 22	5 0	20	89	-38	68	24	9 1	16 52	-147	6-	6	1/4	1702		5	/11	300	162	2 227	/	0	22 96	5 -2	4 /	0 2	8 10	) 8	3	51	-122	-11	-12	205	1797	9%	5
6	86	54	52 78	8 -8	23	93	19	35	30	12 2	2 18	-32	-4	43	65	586		6	93	56	56	73		-6	27 97	' 2	4 3	3 3	7 12		9	19	-31	-7	46	110	659	3%	12
7	100	101	110 50	1 00		240	75	FC	00	20	7 0	170	-	1.45	100	0000		7	007	110	101	E E E		20	0 00	7 0		1 0	4 00	_	<u> </u>	4.4	100	4	140	100	0014	110/	0
1	190	101	112 03	1 80	0 00	342	75	30	62	32 -	7 -3	179	3	140	0 108	2086		1	221	110	121	555	9 9	90	00 30	/ 0	1 4	I C	4 32	<u> </u>	Ö	-14	199	•	143	120	2214	11%	3
8	217	178	109 15	8 -9(	6 46	135	70	44	22	27 2	8 37	29	-6	85	135	1219	1	8	219	180	110	) 147	7 -8	86	52 15	2 8	3 4	2 2	4 29	9 3	1	45	44	-6	87	139	1291	6%	7
0	.11	83	-15 -10	15 10	17	-14	13	-24	2	24 .0	24 _15	102	2	88	-5	100		٥	-20	Q1	-0	-210	a 1	13	4 -5	3 1	0 .0	M I	> 26		20	-14	255	4	80	4	205	1%	17
9		00	-13 -13	40	, 17	-14	40	-24	2	24 -2	-10	192		00	-5	190		9	-20	31	-9	-21;	3 4	+5	4 -5	<b>,</b> 4	U -2	.+	20	, ,	20	-14	233	4	09	4	205	1 /0	17
10	20	-31	43 -7	18	3 13	28	20	6	11	7 1	5 22	33	0	41	18	258		10	20	-18	45	-9	2	22	16 3 <sup>.</sup>	2	2 7	7 1	1 6		6	18	28	0	47	8	271	1%	16
11	32	10	14 26	6 1	13	26	27	24	10	7	8 5	55	0	41	67	365		11	32	14	13	22		4	13 25	i 2	8 2	6 1	0 7		7	5	57	0	49	73	385	2%	13
10	4	10	7 7	<b>- - - - - - - - - -</b>				45	7	-		100	Ť	40	10	074		10	0	4.4		70								_	-	0	000	0	00		007	10/	15
12	-4	43	-/:	5 76	8	-6	21	-15	/	8 -	I U	169	3	42	-10	274		12	-8	41	1	-70	) 3	39	6 -	2	U - I	2	<b>S</b> U		I	U	223	2	39	-6	287	1%	15
13	3	5	23 -4	5 25	5 10	11	26	-3	53	5	2 0	56	0	118	3 25	315		13	2	6	23	-38	3 2	24	10 12	2 2	8 -	3 5	0 4		4	0	51	0	138	39	353	2%	14
14	66	18	22 60	01(	1 24	91	37	30	59	91 1	0 35	202	0	104	236	1083		14	61	27	27	44		-3	24 86	. 3	5 4	8 5	7 98	χ -	6	78	215	0	108	265	1186	6%	q
14	00	10	22 00			01	07	00	00		0 00	202	·	104	F 200	1000		17	01		21									, 	<u> </u>	70	210	0	100	200	1100	070	5
15	275	141	158 25	9 -19	3 -28	67	-39	136	0	0 2	67 81	0	0	-30	141	1235		15	258	144	143	255	5 -1	193	21 76	) -ż	4 13	30	) ()	3	32	34	0	-2	-23	167	1277	6%	8
16	10	155	75 16	9 -82	2 57	198	26	110	72	34 6	4 159	9 108	2	-1	41	1199	1	16	32	179	105	5 179	9 -7	72	56 21	1 3	3 10	)5 7	2 35	5 6	64	176	128	3	-2	39	1342	7%	6
17	73	54	10 17	5 02	21	95	57	Q	14	/1	0 8	216	2	13	5	902		17	84	62	26	105	5 1	25	20 10	5 6	0 2	3 1	6 1/	1	2	38	238	1	12	5	1065	5%	10
17	73	54	15 17	5 32	. 51	35	57	3	14	41	0 0	210	~	15	5	902			04	02	20	130	5 1	25	0 10	5 0	0 2	5 I	0 44	•	2	30	230		12	5	1005	J /o	10
Total	2761	1821 1	238 ##	# 71	3 476	2082	868	581	482 3	386 5	89 427	1730	) 19	1134	4 137	6 <u>1</u> 9101	1	Total	2875	1965	134	6 246	4 8	07 5	04 220	9	6 60	00 5	5 40	0 6	40	479	1965	5	1237	1594	20515	100%	
				-									-					Percentage	14%	10%	7%	12%	6 4	%	% 11	% A	% 3	% <b>२</b>	20	6 9	%	2%	10%	0%	6%	8%	100%		_
Evel VOO De d'in o				_	-	_		<u> </u>	10	44 4	0 40		4-	1.40	4-	7.1.1		Buil			+ ',°			· / ·		·~ +	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<del>č – č</del>			/ .	47	0,0	0,0	10070		
Fuel VOC Benefits, £m	1	2	3 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11   1	2 13	14	15	16	17	lotal		Hank	1	5	1	2	1	10	14 3		) 1	2 1	3 16	5	1	15	4	17	8	6			
1	16	17	8 13	3 14	2	12	6	4	4	1	1 3	9	0	10	0	120																							
2	10	1	6 10	2 21	5	6	15	10	0	1 1	2 1	10	0	11	0	104		Origin	DV/P	0/.							So	otore											
2	12	4	0 12	2 21	J	0	13	10	U	1	<b>5</b> 1	10	0		0	124		Origin	FVD	/0							36												
3	3	5	3 2	12	2 1	1	5	4	1	1 0	0 0	18	3	12	0	72		4	2725	13%			N	No. L	ocation														
4	19	11	15 25	5 12	) 1	33	4	-7	1	3 -	1 _1	14	0	11	28	169		1	2273	11%				1 F	ramhal	/ Che	adle / I	Heald (	Green										
	10		10 20	5 12		00	-	'	-	•		17	- V		20	105		<u>'</u>	2270	11/0				1 1		/ 0110		iculu -	arcon										
5	36	19	12   13	3   -1(	6 0	5	0	5	3	0	9 3	-4	0	-17	11	78		7	2214	11%				2 V	limslo	v / Sty	al / Ha	Indforth	l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i										
6	4	3	3 1	-1	2	5	2	2	4	1	1 2	-4	-1	1	49	74		2	2209	11%				3 F	ovnton	/Woo	dford /	Prest	urv / B	ollinat	on								
<u> </u>		<u> </u>			_		_	-		-						1.1	_	-	1200	11/0						,				- 0									
7	16	1	4 26	6 6	2	18	6	-7	3	2 -	1 -6	10	0	9	6	101		5	1/9/	9%				4 F	azel G	ove /	Jinertor	1 / Iviar	ole / Ge	e Cro	SS								
8	10	12	8 5	-3	3	13	4	4	2	2	5 7	2	0	2	9	84		16	1342	7%				5 N	lanches	ster Ai	rport												
0			0 0			00		-	-	-		-		-		00		0	1001	00/				0 5	l al a la com	100													
9	-4	11	2 -23	2 5	1	-20	2	-1	U	3	0 0	52		6	0	36		8	1291	6%				6 L	lasbury	/ Gal	ey / w	uningu	n										
10	1	1	3 -3	3 2	2	3	3	0	0	0	0 1	2	0	6	-5	15		15	1277	6%				7 5	tockpo	t													
	<u> </u>			-	4	0		0	0	0		-	-	-	7	00	_	4.4	1100	00/				~ /	Itrinobo	m / c		ratford											
11	2	U	1 1	1	1	2	1	3	U	0	0 0	2	0	C	1	20		14	1100	6%				0 /				retioru											
12	-1	5	0 -5	5 14	0	-1	3	0	0	1 1	0 0	43	0	3	0	60		17	1065	5%				9 E	isley /	High L	ane / V	Vhalev	Bridge										
10	0	0	1 6	2 0	4	2	4	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	4	10		0	076	E 9/			1			fiold			Ŭ										
15	U	U	0-	2	1	-0	4	U	2	0	0 0	4	0	9	4	10		3	970	5%			· · ·	10 1	accies	ieiu													
14	1	0	1 0	0	1	4	1	2	3	5	1 5	5	0	6	22	56		6	659	3%			1	11 k	nutsfor	d / Ch	elford /	North	<i>i</i> ch/										
15	12	10	21 22	2 1/	2 1	5	- 1	17	0	0 6	4 4	0	0	2	0	145		11	295	20/			1	10 F	ast of (	Cordor	/ Peak	Distri	∼t										
15	15	12	21 20	5 -14	2 71	3	- 1	17	U	0 0		0	0	-2	3	145			305	2 /0			· · ·	12 5	451 01 0		, i cui	C DISTI	51										
16	1	14	12 8	-2	3	16	4	7	4	1 (	6 20	7	0	0	0	103		13	353	2%			1	13 5	outh Ea	ast of	Cordon												
17	7	5	3 1/	4 4	1	7	5	0	0	2	0 2	15	0	0	0	65		12	287	1%			1	14 5	outh W	est of	Cordor	n I											
	'	<b>J</b>	0 1-			'	5	V	U	<u> </u>	0 2	15	- ·	U	•	05		12	207	1 /0				14 0			001001	·											
Total	135	126	102 10	6 59	25	105	64	45	25	24   8	5 37	193	5	72	139	1346		10	271	1%			1	15 V	lest of	Cordo	ו												
																		9	205	1%			1	16 N	orthwe	t of C	ordon												
						_		•			•							3	200	1 /0	<b>_</b>				- utle														
Non-Fuel VOC Benefits, £m	1	2	3 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11   1	2 13	14	15	16	17	Total					_		1	17 P	ortheas	t of C	ordon												
1	15	0	7 -1	1 -8	2	10	-11	-2	0	0 -	5 2	-10	-2	12	13	12		Destination	PVB	%	1																		
2	-16	17	-1 -1	5 7	0	_1	-0	-7	10	6	6 2	12		6	2	Q		1	2875	14%	1																		
2	-10		13	<u> </u>	U	- 1	-0	-1	10	<u> </u>	~ ~	10	-2	0		0	_		2075	1 -+ /0	1																		
3	3	-3	3 -1	<u>-2</u>	0	1	-10	5	-1	-2	υ 2	-20	0	9	1	-14		4	2464	12%	1																		
4	-3	-14	9 16	6 -7	-3	15	-14	11	5	-4 1	0 8	-34	-2	7	4	4		7	2204	11%	1																		
F	6		2 4	1 0	0	0	14	2	2	2		20			10	4	_	14	1065	10%	1																		
3	-0	4	-0 -1	1 0	2	2	14	-0	2	<u> </u>	+1 -4	30	-4	-1	19		_	14	1965	10%	1																		
6	2	-1	1 -6	3 3	2	0	3	-5	4	0 -	3 -1	5	-2	2	-4	-1		2	1965	10%	1																		
7	15	1	5 2	4	-1	8	0	-8	-1	-1	2 -5	11	-2	-11	6	27		17	1594	8%																			
1	10		<u> </u>		-1		~	~	-	<u>'</u>		11	-2	- 1 1	- <u>-</u>		_		10.04	70	1																		
8	-8	-10	-/ -1	<u>б 13</u>	s <u>3</u>	3	9	-6	-1	<u> </u>	2 0	13	1	1	-5	-11		3	1346	/%	1																		
9	-6	-4	5 -1	-2	-3	-22	-6	1	0	-1	3 1	11	0	-5	9	-21		16	1237	6%	1																		
10	-	10			-	4	0		0	4		7				-	_	0	010	40/	1																		
10	-1	12	-1	2	1	1	U	U	V	-1	<del>v</del> -4	-7	U	1	-4	-2	_	ð	910	4 %	1																		
11	-2	5	-1 -5	5   2	0	-2	0	-1	-1	0 -	2 -1	0	0	3	0	-6		5	807	4%	1																		
12	-3	-7	0 10	0 -5	2 -3	6	-4	3	0	-9	2 _1	11	-2	-6	4	-47		12	640	3%	1																		
10	4		<del>~   )</del>					<del>~  </del>	-	<del>,</del>					1		_	~	010	0.00	1																		
13	-1	1	-1 13	<u>3</u> -2	0	4	-2	U	-5	-1	<u> </u>	-9	0	10	11	20		9	600	3%	1																		
14	-5	10	4 -1	6 7	0	-9	-3	7	-5	1 0	6 39	8	0	-3	7	47		10	515	3%	1																		
15	_20	_0	-36 0	7 10		Λ	17	-22	0	0	1 /0	0	<b>_</b>	•	17	.102		6	504	20/	1																		
15	-30	-0	-00 -2	1 12	. 3	4	17	-20	v	<u> </u>	-40	, ,	-2	0		-103	_	0	304	2 %	1																		
16	21	9	19 2	12	-5	-4	2	-12	-3	<u> </u>	б -4	13	0	-1	-2	41		13	479	2%	1																		
17	4	3	4 7	28	-2	2	-2	14	3	1 3	2 28	8	-2	-1	0	99		11	400	2%	1																		
Total	20	17	6 0	0 10	-	17	16	26	0	10 0	2 15	40	10		70	50	_	15	F	0.0/	1																		
TOLAI	-22	17	0 -b		0 3	17	-10	-20	0	10 -3	JU 15	43	-18	ו ט	79	52		15	э	0%	<b>_</b>																		

Economic Assessment Report