THE HIGHWAYS ACT 1980

-and-

THE ACQUISITION OF LAND ACT 1981

THE HIGHWAYS (INQUIRIES PROCEDURE) RULES 1994 COMPULSORY PURCHASE (INQUIRIES PROCEDURE) RULES 2007

REFERENCE: LAO/NW/SRO/2013/40 and LAO/NW/CPO/2013/41 REBUTTAL PROOF

-of-

James McMahon in relation to the Proof

of

Mr and Mrs Gilchrist, 111 Macclesfield Road, Hazel Grove

The Metropolitan Borough Council of Stockport
acting on its behalf and on behalf of
-Manchester City Council -andCheshire East Borough Council

to be presented to a Local Public Inquiry on the 30th September 2014 to consider objections to

THE METROPOLITAN BOROUGH OF STOCKPORT (HAZEL GROVE (A6) TO MANCHESTER AIRPORT A555 CLASSIFIED ROAD) COMPULSORY PURCHASE ORDER 2013


THE METROPOLITAN BOROUGH OF STOCKPORT (HAZEL GROVE (A6) TO MANCHESTER AIRPORT A555 CLASSIFIED ROAD) (SIDE ROADS) ORDER 2013

VOLUME 2 - APPENDICES


Parveen Akhtar

Head of Legal and Democratic Governance
The Metropolitan Borough Council of Stockport
Corporate and Support Services
Town Hall, Stockport SK1 3XE

Appendix A Phase 1 and 2 Consultation Leaflet Drop Zone



Appendix B Macclesfield Road Realignment Proposals (1007_3D_DF7_A6-MA_GA_MR_335_C)



Appendix C Stage 1 Road Safety Audit and Engineer's Response

STOCKPORT METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL ENVIRONMENT AND ECONOMY DIRECTORATE

STAGE 1 ROAD SAFETY AUDIT

For the attention of Naz Huda

Scheme – Macclesfield Road realignment SEMMMS A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road

Date of Site Visit - 17th July 2014

Weather Conditions - Fine & Dry

Copies to - Graham Martin & Sue Stevenson

The attached report is the Stage 1 Road Safety Audit for the above scheme based on the following information supplied to the Crash Investigation Team.

Item	Description	Supplied	Comments	
A	Plans	Yes	1007/3D/DF7/A6-MA/GA/MR/335	
В	Traffic Count Data	Yes	AADT detailed 250613 & predicted flows 1007 615 2 183 A6Marr	
C	Speed Count Data	No		
D	Accident Data	Yes	Supplied by CRASH team	
E	Design Standards	Yes	DMRB	
F	Design Brief	No		
G	Other Data	yes	Resident objection information	

The Road Safety Audit has been conducted in accordance with Stockport Councils Road Safety Audit Procedure, adopted 1st May 2006. In particular, it is based on the Highways Agency's Design Manual for Roads and Bridges HD19/03 which supersedes the previous Standards HD19/94 and Advice Note HA42/94. It also has regard to the Institution of Highways and Transportation reference document, 'Guidelines for the Safety Audit of Highways'.

Paul Lawrence Corporate Director Services to Place

Network Management Team Fred Perry House c/o Stopford House Piccadilly Stockport SK1 3XE

	Author	Team Manager	Senior Manager
Signed	Transpir		
Date	18 th July 2014		

Scheme

Macclesfield Road Realignment

SEMMMS A6 to Manchester Airport relief road

Crash Investigation Team reference

ES1-626 / 43172

DOMS No.

1007

Audit Brief Submitted by

A6 MARR 8th July 2014

Contact

Naz Huda

474 4835

Audit Team

Gary Kennedy Steve McVeigh 07800 618190 0161 474 4811

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This Stage 1 Road Safety Audit examines the road safety implications associated with the SEMMMS relief road design, and the associated proposed improvement works.
- 1.2 The comments contained in this Safety Audit are based on the information on the drawings provided and on a site visit carried out on 17th July 2014.
- 1.3 In the last three years (01/04/2011 31/03/2014) there were no incidents in the area indicated on the Macclesfield Road realignment proposal plans. Although there were 5 incidents at the signal controlled junction with Dean Lane; incidents resulted in 5 slight casualties.



1.4 The safety audit is specifically an examination of the road safety aspects of the scheme design. It is not an appraisal of policy or strategic issues associated with the planning of the scheme. In the event of an accident and any resulting legal action, the Council, as Highway Authority, would have to defend its actions on the basis that it took such care, as in all circumstances was reasonably required, to ensure that the highway was not dangerous to road users. It is important, therefore that recommendations contained in the report are acted upon wherever possible.

2.0 The Safety Audit

The Audit Team, because of the detail provided in the drawings have carried out a Stage 1 Road Safety Audit. The Audit team have considered visibility, levels, turning manoeuvres, alignment and facilities for non-motorised users as part of the audit process.

Note

The audit comments relate specifically to the areas shown on the plan – Macclesfield Rd north side of the relief road to Ashbourne Rd

2.1 Plan 1007/3D/DF7/A6-MA/GA/MR/335

Problem 1

Location:

Macclesfield Road access / egress to property 121

Summary:

potential incidents involving nose to tail and side impact collisions

Access to and from property 121when turning right will involve crossing 12 metres of carriageway (plus cycle lane). Whilst the southbound lanes are marked as two; at this width of carriageway it is conceivable that four lanes of traffic could be formed. In addition, with a predicted increase of 19% in traffic flows this could potentially lead to congestion problems and collisions for turning traffic.

Recommendation 1

Whilst it is accepted that the demand for turning will be low from a residential property it is difficult to assess the degree of risk associated with turning right across three / four lanes of moving traffic. It is recommended that accident records of similar road layouts be assessed to ascertain whether this layout presents an unacceptable level of risk. A reduction in the southbound carriageway width would restrict the number of lanes of traffic that would be able to form and therefor reduce the level of risk.

Problem 2

Location:

Southbound Cycle Lane

Summary:

Potential increase in incidents involving pedal cyclists

At 1.2 metres wide the southbound cycle lane is sub-standard and could lead to an increase in vehicle / pedal cyclist collisions

Recommendation 2

It is recommended that the cycle lane should be 1.5 metres wide.

Observation A

Location:

Macclesfield Road / Norbury Hall access

There are 'keep clear' markings at the entrance to the access road which indicates that there have been problems with queuing traffic blocking the junction.

Recommendation 2

It is recommended that the 'keep clear' markings are retained within the new design proposals.

3.0 **Further Safety Audits**

The scheme should be subject to further Road Safety Audits, Stages 2 & 3 before 3.1 being commissioned and opened to traffic.

4.0 Conclusion

This Stage 1 Road Safety Audit recommends various actions, which should be 4.1 addressed in the detailed design process, prior to construction. Where recommendations cannot be incorporated into the design, they should be documented in an exception report that should be forwarded to the CRASH Investigation Team.

5.0 **Audit Team Statement**

I certify that this audit has been carried out in accordance with Stockport Councils Road Safety Audit Procedure, adopted 1st May 2006.

Chanely

AUDIT TEAM LEADER:

Name: Gary Kennedy

Signed:

Position: Senior Traffic Services Officer Date: 18th July 2014

Organisation: Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council, Stopford House, Piccadilly,

Stockport, SK1 3XE

AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS:

Name: Steve McVeigh

Position: Senior Traffic Services Officer

Signed:

Organisation: Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council, Stopford House, Piccadilly,

Stockport, SK1 3XE

SEMMMS A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road Engineers Response to Stage 1 Road Safety Audit – Macc Rd Jct

Scheme: SEMMMS A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road

Original Crash Investigation Team Ref: ES1-626/43172 Date: 17th July 2014

Engineer: Joe Watton **Date:** 18th Sept 2014

Checked: Naz Huda Date: 18th Sept 2014

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This document is in response to the second Stage 1 Road Safety Audit (RSA) carried out in July 2014 by Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council Crash Investigation Team. The RSA examined the road safety implications associated with the Macclesfield Road junction.
- 1.2 The problems and possible solutions highlighted in the Stage 1 RSA have been carefully considered in relation to the individual locations. The SEMMMS Design Team responses to each issue explain the rationale behind the original design and whether the RSA recommendations will be integrated into the proposed design. Refer to drawing 1007/3D/DF7/A6-MA/GA/MR/335-B for proposed amendments following the Stage 1 RSA.

2.0 Problem 1

Problem 1: Access/egress to property 121 - Potential incidents involving nose to tail and side impact collisions

Response: The traffic modelling carried out on the Macclesfield Road Junction determined the queue lengths on the southbound approach to the junction. As a result this means that the length of the lanes cannot be amended and the lane widths outside property 121 cannot be reduced to allow a sufficient distance for 2 lanes to split in to 4 on the approach to the junction. Though we accept that the lane widths outside property 121 are wide (4.67m), we believe that vehicles turning right to access the property only have to cross 10 metres of carriageway (plus cycle lane). We will include 'Keep Clear' road markings across both lanes outside the property to allow better visibility for vehicles accessing the property.

2.1 Problem 2

Problem 2: Southbound Cycle Lane – Potential increase in incidents involving pedal cyclists

Response: Existing kerb line outside Macclesfield Road properties have been amended following liaison with the residents it was agreed that none of the existing footway outside their properties would be taken. To provide suitable vehicle lane widths then a maximum

SEMMMS A6 to Manchester Airport Relief Road Engineers Response to Stage 1 Road Safety Audit – Macc Rd Jct

cycle lane width of 1.2m can be provided. Side entry gullies will also be provided on this section of the cycleway to give a useable width for cyclists.

2.2 Observation A

Problem 3: Norbury Hall access – Existing 'Keep Clear' road markings

Response: The existing 'Keep Clear' road markings outside the Norbury Hall access road will be repainted and widened to suit the proposed tie in to the access to the property.